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Abstract—A color filter array (CFA) used in a digital camera is a mosaic of spectrally selective filters, which allows only one color component to be sensed at each pixel. The missing two components of each pixel have to be estimated by methods known as demosaicking. The demosaicking algorithm and the CFA design are crucial for the quality of the output images. In this paper, we present a CFA design methodology in the frequency domain. The frequency structure, which is shown to be just the symbolic DFT of the CFA pattern (one period of the CFA), is introduced to represent images sampled with any rectangular CFAs in the frequency domain. Based on the frequency structure, the CFA design involves the solution of a constrained optimization problem that aims at minimizing the demosaicking error. To decrease the number of parameters and speed up the parameter searching, the optimization problem is reformulated as the selection of geometric points on the boundary of a convex polygon or the surface of a convex polyhedron. Using our methodology, several new CFA patterns are found, which outperform the currently commercialized and published ones. Experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our CFA design methodology and the superiority of our new CFA patterns.

Index Terms—color filter array (CFA), discrete Fourier transform (DFT), sampling, multiplexing, demosaicking

I. INTRODUCTION

Today, digital cameras have become widespread in daily life. In theory, to capture a color image each pixel should have at least three sensors, in order to simultaneously sense at least three color components, e.g., red, green and blue (R, G and B), or cyan, magenta and yellow (C, M and Y). However, to reduce the cost, size and complexity, a consumer digital camera usually uses a single-chip sensor covered with a Color Filter Array (CFA) [1], [28]. A CFA is a mosaic of spectrally selective filters, which is of the same size as the sensed image and allows only one color component to be sensed at each pixel. Therefore, the sensed image, i.e., the CFA filtered image, has only one color value at each pixel. To recover the original full color image, the missing two color components of each pixel have to be estimated by methods called demosaicking. Numerous algorithms have been proposed in the literature [12], [21], [25], [26].

Besides better demosaicking algorithms, an alternative way to improve the quality of demosaicked images is to optimize the configurations of CFAs. Since a CFA is usually a periodic tiling of a much smaller array, which is called the CFA pattern in this paper, the problem reduces to finding optimal CFA patterns. Many CFA patterns have been proposed [22], among which some have been used in commercial products [3], [27] and the most commonly used one is the Bayer pattern [3].

The Bayer pattern was designed based on two facts of the human visual system (HVS): relatively greater ability to discern the luminance detail, and the closeness of green frequency to the peak of the human luminance spectral response (Fig. 1(a)) [3], [11]. Thus the sampling rates for green, red and blue (G, R and B) are 1/2, 1/4 and 1/4, respectively. Based on the Bayer pattern, some other patterns have been proposed for some other considerations. For example, to overcome the problem of fast saturation of green pixels by introducing a luminance channel (W) (Fig. 1(b)) [31], to preserve spatial resolution while using the luminance channel (Fig. 1(c)) [9], to deal with low light conditions by using subtractive colors (Fig. 1(d)) [13], to be close to the natural sight perception of the human eye by introducing a light blue color emerald (E) (Fig. 1(e)) [27], and to increase the overall sensitivity of the sensor by featuring one ‘panchromatic pixel’ (luminance) adjacent to every colored pixel (Figs. 1(f)-(g)) [17]. Some pseudo-random (or random) CFAs were also proposed in [33] to eliminate the aliased spectrum and were recommended by Fillfactory [22] for being more immune to color Moiré artifacts (Fig. 1(i)). The Fillfactory also proposed the well-known diagonal stripe CFA (Fig. 1(j)). Fig. 1(k) was proposed in [22].

More systematic CFA design methods have also been proposed. In [23] (Fig. 1(l)), CFA was obtained with quantitative analysis to minimize the discrepancy between the reconstructed and the original images when viewed through the HVS. In [24], the same authors considered selecting spectral sensitivity functions (visible spectrum sampled every 10 nm in 400-700nm) to minimize the reconstruction error under different illuminants in the CIE L*a*b* space. In [2] CFA filtering was analyzed in the Fourier domain to be represented with luminance and chrominance, and the authors proposed to exchange color green with red or blue in Bayer CFA for...
Formulations and solutions of the CFA design problem: In [16], [15], a CFA is designed by directly specifying the parameters of components in the frequency domain, to satisfy some constraints and give the CFA pattern some desirable characteristics, whereas in our framework, we first set some components of undesirable characteristics in the frequency domain to zero, and then find the non-zero components by formulating it as a constrained optimization problem. 

Problem Solving: A geometric method is proposed to solve our optimization problem, i.e. to find a triangle for optimization, which is intuitive and visual.

analysis intuitively and visually, and can be extended to other periodical CFA representations if a geometric transform matrix is considered.

1) Problem Formulation: In [16], [15], a CFA is designed by directly specifying the parameters of components in the frequency domain, to satisfy some constraints and give the CFA pattern some desirable characteristics, whereas in our framework, we first set some components of undesirable characteristics in the frequency domain to zero, and then find the non-zero components by formulating it as a constrained optimization problem.

3) Problem Solving: A geometric method is proposed to solve our optimization problem, i.e. to find a triangle for optimization, which is intuitive and visual.

This paper is organized as follows. The following three sections deal with `Representation`, `Problem Formulation` and `Problem Solving`, respectively. In Section II, the frequency structure of a CFA (pattern) is defined to represent a CFA filtered image in the frequency domain. It is also shown that the frequency structure is just the symbolic DFT of the CFA pattern. In Section III, we show how to formulate the CFA design as a constrained optimization problem. In Section IV, the optimization problem is solved by reformulating it as a geometric point selection on the boundary of a convex polygon or the surface of a convex polyhedron. Section V gives a simple design example in detail, and also proposes two new CFA patterns. Experimental results and comparisons between the Bayer CFA, the Sony CFA, the diagonal stripe CFA, the first of the four CFAs proposed in [15] which had the best performance in their paper, the CFA proposed in [5] and our newly proposed ones are presented in Section VI. Finally, we conclude our paper in Section VII.
II. FREQUENCY STRUCTURE AS A REPRESENTATION OF CFA FILTERED IMAGES

The problem of representing CFA filtered images in the frequency domain was first addressed in [2], [7], which considered mainly the Bayer CFA. In [8], [15], [16], general representations were proposed, mainly based on the theory of sampling on lattices. In this section, we also derive a general representation for any rectangular CFA. CFA filtered images are represented by a matrix called the frequency structure, which shows all the luminance/chrominance components at their corresponding frequencies. The frequency structure can be easily obtained by computing the symbolic DFT of the CFA pattern. Compared with the representations in [8], [15], [16], ours is distinct in that it is concise, easy to compute and intuitive for analysis. What is more, with it the CFA design can be easily formulated as an optimization problem (Section IV). For more details, please refer to our technical report [19].

A. Frequency Structure

Let \( f(x, y) \) be the full color image of size \((N_x, N_y)\) and the CFA pattern \( h_p(x, y) \) be of size \((n_x, n_y)\). Based on the well established tri-primary color theory, both \( f(x, y) \) and \( h_p(x, y) \) can be decomposed into three components \( f^{(C)}(x, y) \) and \( h_p^{(C)}(x, y) \), each corresponding to one primary color \( C \) (e.g., \( C = R, G, B \), or \( C = M, Y \)). For example, the Bayer CFA pattern (Fig. 1(a)) can be represented by a matrix

\[
h_{p,Bayer} = \begin{bmatrix} G & R \\ B & G \end{bmatrix},
\]

which can be decomposed into matrices of primary colors:

\[
\begin{align*}
 h_{p,Bayer}^{(R)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & h_{p,Bayer}^{(G)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 h_{p,Bayer}^{(B)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Accordingly, the color image \( f(x, y) \) is decomposed into \( f^{(R)}(x, y) \), \( f^{(G)}(x, y) \) and \( f^{(B)}(x, y) \). Then the CFA filtered image can be represented as:

\[
f_{CFA}(x, y) = \sum_C f^{(C)}(x, y) \cdot h_{CFA}^{(C)}(x, y),
\]

where \( h_{CFA}^{(C)} \) is the corresponding CFA of color \( C \) defined as the periodic replica of the primary CFA pattern \( h_p^{(C)} \):

\[
h_{CFA}^{(C)}(x, y) = h_p^{(C)}(x \mod n_x, y \mod n_y).
\]

Note that in this equation, \( f^{(C)} \) and \( h_{CFA}^{(C)} \) are different types of quantities. \( f^{(C)} \) represents tri-stimulus values of a color signal, whereas \( h_{CFA}^{(C)} \) represents an attenuation coefficient between 0 and 1.

With straightforward deduction, the DFT of \( f_{CFA} \) can be found to be [19]:

\[
F_{CFA}(\omega_x, \omega_y) = \sum_{k_x=0}^{n_x-1} \sum_{k_y=0}^{n_y-1} H_p^{(C)} \left( \frac{k_x}{n_x}, \frac{k_y}{n_y} \right) \cdot F^{(C)}(\omega_x - \frac{k_x}{n_x}, \omega_y - \frac{k_y}{n_y})
\]

where \( H_p^{(C)}(\omega_x, \omega_y) = DFT \left[ f_p^{(C)}(x, y) \right] \), \( F^{(C)}(\omega_x, \omega_y) = DFT \left[ f^{(C)}(x, y) \right] \), and \( F^{(C)}(\omega_x - \frac{k_x}{n_x}, \omega_y - \frac{k_y}{n_y}) \) has been circularly shifted. Note that here \( (\omega_x, \omega_y) \) takes discrete values in the square region of \([0, 1]^2\) (at a stepsize of \((1/N_x, 1/N_y)\) for \( F_{CFA} \), \( F^{(C)} \) and \( H_{CFA}^{(C)} \), and \((1/n_x, 1/n_y)\) for \( H_p^{(C)} \), respectively). Eq. (3) implies that in the frequency domain the spectrum \( F_{CFA} \) is a multiplexing of \( n_x n_y \) frequency components centered at \((k_x/n_x, k_y/n_y)\), \( k_x = 0, 1, \ldots, n_x - 1; k_y = 0, 1, \ldots, n_y - 1 \), and each component is the sum of the original spectra \( F^{(C)} \) weighted by \( H_p^{(C)}(k_x/n_x, k_y/n_y) \), the value of the spectrum of the CFA pattern at the corresponding frequency. Thus the \( n_x n_y \) components \( \sum_C H_p^{(C)} \cdot F^{(C)} \) are called the multiplex components in this paper.

If we define an \( n_x \times n_y \) matrix

\[
S_{CFA} = \left[ \sum_C H_p^{(C)} \left( \frac{k_x}{n_x}, \frac{k_y}{n_y} \right) \right] \cdot C,
\]

and treat ‘C’ as a representation of ‘\( F^{(C)} \)’, then \( S_{CFA} \) records all the information of the multiplex components of \( F_{CFA} \): the \((k_x, k_y)\)-th entry \( S_{CFA}(k_x, k_y) \) represents the multiplex component modulated at \((k_x/n_x, k_y/n_y)\). Therefore we call the matrix \( S_{CFA} \) the frequency structure of the CFA pattern. And in the sequel, we also use ‘C’ to represent the spectrum of the color channel \( C \) of the original image. If we further define symbolic DFT as regular DFT which treats symbols as parameters [19], then it can be proven that \( S_{CFA} \) is just the symbolic DFT of the CFA pattern \( h_p \) [19]:

\[
S_{CFA} = DFT[h_p].
\]

Thus the frequency structure of any periodic CFAs can be easily computed.

To ensure the same dynamic range of the sensed image at each pixel, the sum of all primary CFA patterns should be an all-one matrix: \( \sum_C h_p^{(C)}(x, y) = 1, \forall x, y \). By applying DFT to it, we have that:

\[
\sum_C H_p^{(C)} \left( \frac{k_x}{n_x}, \frac{k_y}{n_y} \right) = \delta(k_x) \delta(k_y),
\]
which means that the sums \( \sum_c H_{ij}^{(C)}(k_x/n_x, k_y/n_y) \) of the coefficients for all multiplex components are zero, except the one at the baseband (frequency \((0,0)\)), which is 1. As in [2] and [7], we shall call the multiplex component at the baseband the luminance component (luma) and the others the chrominance components (chromas).

B. Examples of Frequency Structures

The frequency structure \( S_{CFA} \) of a CFA not only records all the multiplex components, but also shows their arrangements in the frequency domain (the position in the matrix shows the modulated frequency). And thanks to Eq. (5), \( S_{CFA} \) can be easily computed.

For example, the frequency structure of the Bayer CFA (cf. Eq. (1)) and Fig. 1(a)) is

\[
S_{Bayer} = DFT\left[ h_{p,Bayer} \right] = DFT\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} G & R & \vspace{2mm} \\
R & B & G \\
- & - & - \end{array} \right] \tag{7}
\]

It shows that the spectrum of any image sampled with the Bayer CFA has a luma \((R+2G+B)/4\) at the baseband, and three chromas \(-(R-B)/4, (R-B)/4\) and \(-(R-2G+B)/4\) centered (or modulated) at frequencies \((1/2, 0), (0, 1/2)\) and \((1/2, 1/2)\), respectively. To illustrate, Fig. 2 shows the spectrum of the ‘window’ image filtered with it. Note that due to the Fourier transform, the coefficients of chromas may be complex. For more examples, please refer to [19].

III. CFA DESIGN AS AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

Frequency structure offers a simple universal frequency domain demosaicking algorithm for all rectangular CFAs. Aiming at minimizing the demosaicking error, some desired characteristics of an optimal CFA can be obtained, which are the principles that guide our CFA design. With the help of frequency structure, we can easily follow the design principles and turn the CFA design into an optimization problem.

A. Associated Demosaicking and CFA Design Principles

Given the frequency structure of a CFA, demosaicking can be easily achieved by: 1) obtaining all multiplexed components, 2) transforming them back to the primary color channels (e.g., R, G and B), and 3) applying the inverse DFT to the spectra of the primary color components to recover the original image. This method is named the associated demosaicking algorithm in [8]. Take the Bayer CFA for example, \( S_{Bayer} \) (7) shows that a luma \((R+2G+B)/4\), and two chromas \(-(R-B)/4\) and \(-(R+B)/4\) (denoted by \( F_L, F_{C1} \) and \( F_{C2} \), respectively), can be obtained by bandpass filtering. The components of primary colors can be recovered from the multiplex components with a linear transform:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
F_L \\
F_{C1} \\
F_{C2}
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{8}
\]

where the \( 3 \times 3 \) transform matrix is called the demosaicking matrix, denoted by \( D_{Bayer} \). Applying the inverse DFT to the spectra of the primary color components R, G and B leads to the three channels of the reconstructed image.

A good CFA should minimize the demosaicking error. The above analysis shows that for the associated demosaicking method to perform well, the CFA should enable the following procedures to work well:

1) to estimate the multiplex components accurately;
2) to estimate the primary color components from the multiplex components accurately.

To achieve the first goal, we have two principles for CFA design:

\[
\text{(P1) The crosstalk among the multiplex components should be as small as possible.}
\]

\[
\text{(P2) The correlation among some multiplex components should be as high as possible.}
\]

With the first principle (P1), band-pass filtering will result in little aliasing from other multiplex components. The same principle was used in [15], [16] for the CFA design. And by the second principle (P2), if there is high correlation among some multiplex components, we can fuse them adaptively to obtain a more accurate and robust estimate of those multiplex components. For example, for the Bayer CFA (7), a great performance margin was achieved by exploiting the correlation between two chromas \(-R+B)/4\) and \((R-B)/4\) [7]. If a chroma has more than one replica multiplexed into a spectrum, they can be at least averaged to reduce the filtering error, and an adaptive method can give even more accurate estimates of the chroma.

To achieve the second goal, we have to control the error in the estimated multiplex components such that the error will not be amplified. Note there is a linear relationship between the multiplex components and the primary color components (e.g., Eq. (8) for the Bayer CFA):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix} = D \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
F_L \\
F_{C1} \\
F_{C2}
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}
\]

So if the estimated multiplex components have error \( \Delta F_L, \Delta F_{C1} \) and \( \Delta F_{C2} \) respectively and we denote the the error of the primary color components as \( \Delta R, \Delta G \) and \( \Delta B \), then from matrix analysis [10] we have

\[
\| [\Delta R, \Delta G, \Delta B] \|_2 = \| D \cdot [\Delta F_L, \Delta F_{C1}, \Delta F_{C2}] \|_2 \leq \| D \|_2 \cdot \| [\Delta F_L, \Delta F_{C1}, \Delta F_{C2}] \|_2, \]

where ‘t’ denotes the matrix transpose. \( \| [\Delta F_L, \Delta F_{C1}, \Delta F_{C2}] \|_2 \) depends on the demosaicking method applied to the CFA pattern, but \( \| D \|_2 \) should be minimized in CFA design in order to minimize the error of primary colors. Hence we have the third principle for optimal CFA design:

\[
\text{(P3) The norm of the demosaicking matrix } D \text{ should be minimized.}
\]

Our CFA design methodology is based on the above three principles. It consists of two steps: choosing an appropriate form of the frequency structure \( S_{CFA} \) of a CFA, and determining optimal parameters of \( S_{CFA} \). The details of these two steps are described in the following two subsections, respectively.
B. Choosing a Frequency Structure

To choose an appropriate frequency structure of the CFA means to specify the positions of nonzero multiplex components and to designate the relationship (e.g., equal, negative, or conjugate) among the chromas. (P1) and (P2) should be followed when making this choice.

There should be some constraints on the frequency structure. As the DFT of CFA pattern \( h_p \), \( S_{CFA} \) must satisfy all the properties of DFT, including the ‘conjugate symmetry’. Besides, Eq. (6) shows that the luma must exist. So the frequency point \((0, 0)\) (i.e., \( S_{CFA}(1, 1) \)) must be nonzero. Furthermore, to reconstruct three primary color components of an image, we need at least three independent multiplex components. Since the luma is already selected, two independent chromas must be selected. Here, that two chromas \( S(p, q) \) and \( S(r, s) \) are independent means that there does not exist a scalar (real or complex) \( c \) such that \( S(p, q) = c \cdot S(r, s) \).

Now we are equipped to choose an appropriate frequency structure. Some guidelines can be obtained following principles (P1) and (P2). As illustrated in [15], [16], to apply (P1), we may choose as few nonzero chromas as possible and maximize the distance among the nonzero multiplex components. And we may further wish that the distance between luma and chromas should be large enough (e.g., no less than 0.5), and the chromas should not be centered on the horizontal or vertical axes of the luma. We can only wish for the latter two guidelines because they may not always be satisfied if the size of the CFA pattern is too small, considering the constraints on the \( S_{CFA} \). For example, for CFA patterns of size \( 3 \times 3 \), the distance between luma and chromas is no larger than \( \sqrt{2}/3 \); and for CFA patterns of size \( 2 \times 2 \), at least one chroma should be on the horizontal or vertical axes of the luma.

To apply (P2), we may choose redundant nonzero chromas and make them dependent. With redundant chromas, we can estimate each chroma more robustly by crosschecking with its redundant replicas. In our current system, for simplicity we only require that a chroma is equal to another replicated one, rather than specifying a scalar between them. Note that ‘choosing redundant nonzero chromas’ is in conflict with ‘choosing as few nonzero chromas as possible’, and we have to make a tradeoff between these two guidelines.

One should be reminded that our guidelines do not result in a unique frequency structure. We cannot foresee which frequency structure is optimal if we make a tradeoff among the guidelines. So we have to test the obtained CFA patterns with differently specified frequency structures using benchmark images to find the best one. Nonetheless, using our guidelines one can easily rule out a vast majority of bad frequency structures: one only has to test a limited number of designs, which are possibly the optimal ones. This already saves a lot of effort in the CFA design.

We show some examples of frequency structures of various sizes of CFA patterns in Fig. 3, whose corresponding frequency structure matrices are shown in Table I. More examples can be found in [20]. By convention we put the baseband at the center, but all the DFT spectra are periodic in both horizontal and vertical directions. Thus, in our frequency structure representation of CFAs, we take the frequency origin \((0, 0)\) as at the top-left corner of the matrix.

C. Optimization Formulation for CFA Design

Once the form of the frequency structure \( S_{CFA} \) is chosen to follow principles (P1) and (P2), the parameters of \( S_{CFA} \) (e.g., those of \( F_L, F_{C1} \) and \( F_{C2} \)) in Table I, and thus the optimal CFA pattern \( h_p \) can be determined by applying (P3).

Note that due to physical laws and the condition that the sensed image should have the same dynamic range, all three primary CFA patterns \( h_p^{(c)} \) must be real and nonnegative, and their sum must be an all-one matrix. The \( h_p^{(c)} \) can be guaranteed to be real, if \( S_{CFA} \) is chosen to satisfy ‘conjugate symmetry’, which we have imposed when choosing \( S_{CFA} \).
in subsection III-B. The nonnegativity of three $h^C_p$ leads to $3n_x n_y$ inequality constraints. That the sum of $h^C_p$ is an all-one matrix would impose another $n_x n_y$ equality constraints. However, (thanks to the property of Eq. (5)) if we consider this “all-one matrix” constraint via the DFT of the CFA pattern $S_{CFA}$, equivalent constraints are found to be Eq. (6). When $S_{CFA}$ has three independent multiplex components (a luma and two chromas, as in Table I), there are at most 5 such equality constraints (remember that the parameters of luma are real numbers, and those of chromas may be complex ones). Hence the equality constraints are greatly simplified.

Now, by applying (P3), the search for the optimal $S_{CFA}$ becomes the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\text{Minimize} & \| D \| \\
\text{Subject to:} & \text{the (at most) 5 equality constraints and } (10) \\
& \text{the } 3n_x n_y \text{ inequality constraints},
\end{cases}$$

where $D$ is the demosaicking matrix and the norm can be any matrix norm. Note that it is very tedious to write down all the inequality constraints manually as they involve the inverse symbolic DFT of $S_{CFA}$, particularly when $n_x$ or $n_y$ is large. But this can be done on the computer via symbolic computing.

IV. GEOMETRIC METHOD FOR OPTIMIZATION

The optimization problem (10) has many parameters (e.g., 15 for 3 multiplex components) and $D$ is the inverse of a parameterized matrix. To decrease the number of parameters for solution searching and simplify the expression of $D$, we rewrite the parameters of multiplex components and explicitly present the corresponding demosaicking matrix. Then the optimization problem can be reformulated as a geometric point selection on the boundary of a convex polygon or the surface of a convex polyhedron.

A. Optimization Reformulated as Triangle Finding

We may parameterize the three multiplex components as $F_L = \omega_R R + \omega_G G + \omega_B B$, $F_{C1} = p_R R + p_G G + p_B B$ and $F_{C2} = q_R R + q_G G + q_B B$ (suppose $C = R, G, B$). In matrix form, it is $[F_L, F_{C1}, F_{C2}]^t = T \cdot [R, G, B]^t$, where $T = [\omega_R, \omega_G, \omega_B; p_R, p_G, p_B; q_R, q_G, q_B]$ and ‘$t$’ denotes the matrix transpose. The matrix $T$ relating the multiplex components to the primary color components is called the multiplexing matrix in this paper, and by the definition of the demosaicking matrix (Eq. (9), transforming from multiplex components to the primary color components) we have $D = T^{-1}$.

Note that $\omega_R, \omega_G$ and $\omega_B$ should be positive real numbers, and the other parameters in $T$ may be complex ones. Thus $T$ has 15 free parameters, which makes the constrained optimization problem (10) a bit difficult. Besides, $D (= T^{-1})$ may have a complex expression. To overcome these problems, we rewrite $T$ as follows:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix}
  w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\
  w_1 p_1 & w_2 p_2 & w_3 p_3 \\
  w_1 q_1 & w_2 q_2 & w_3 q_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 1 & 1 \\
  p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\
  q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  w_1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & w_2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & w_3 \\
\end{bmatrix},$$

where for $k = 1, 2, 3$, $w_k > 0$, $p_k = x_k + iy_k$, and $q_k = z_k + is_k$. With this form, we can give geometric explanations for the constraints in (10) and express $D$ explicitly with a much simpler form, and reformulate the constrained optimization problem (10) as a geometric points selection.

Actually the demosaicking matrix $D = T^{-1}$ is:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{q_2 - q_3}{2p_3 - p_2 - p_1} & \frac{q_3 - q_1}{2p_1 - p_3 - p_2} & \frac{q_1 - q_2}{2p_2 - p_1 - p_3} \\
  \frac{p_3 - p_2}{2p_3 - p_2 - p_1} & \frac{p_1 - p_3}{2p_1 - p_3 - p_2} & \frac{p_2 - p_1}{2p_2 - p_1 - p_3} \\
  \frac{1}{2p_3 - p_2 - p_1} & \frac{1}{2p_1 - p_3 - p_2} & \frac{1}{2p_2 - p_1 - p_3} \\
\end{bmatrix}.$$ (12)

This can be verified by trivial but tedious calculation. One may first check that $DT = \text{diag}(w_1(1 + p_1 D_{12} + q_1 D_{13}), w_2(1 + p_2 D_{22} + q_2 D_{23}), w_3(1 + p_3 D_{32} + q_3 D_{33}))$, where $D_{ij}$ is the $(i, j)$-th entry of $D$. Then one may find that the right hand side is the identity matrix, by observing that $[w_1, w_2, w_3]^T$ is the solution to

$$\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 1 & 1 \\
  p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\
  q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
  w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. $$ (13)

The above linear system is obtained from the 5 equality constraints in (10), or Eq. (6), which are equivalent to $[-1, 0, 0]^t = T \cdot [1, 1, 1]^t$, i.e., (13). (13) means that the weighted barycenter is at the origin, and the origin should be a point inside the triangle.

Now we consider the objective function $\| D \|$ of (10). Owing to the equivalence of matrix norms ($\| D \|_2 \leq \| D \|_F \leq \sqrt{n} \| D \|_2$ [10], where $n = 3$), we may focus on the Frobenius norm (F-norm):

$$\| D \|_F^2 = 3 + \frac{|q_2 - q_3|^2 + |p_3 - p_2|^2}{|p_2 q_3 - p_3 q_2|^2} + \frac{|q_1 - q_3|^2 + |p_3 - p_1|^2}{|p_1 q_3 - p_3 q_1|^2} + \frac{|q_1 - q_2|^2 + |p_2 - p_1|^2}{|p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1|^2}.$$ (14)

Consider the last term of (14). When both $p_k$ and $q_k$ are real numbers, the denominator is actually $|\det([p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2])|^2$, i.e., the squared area of the parallelogram with $(p_1, p_2)$, $(q_1, q_2)$ and the origin being three of its vertices. And the numerator is the squared distance between $(p_1, q_1)$ and $(p_2, q_2)$. Thus the last entry of (14) equals $1/d_{12}^2$, where $d_{12}$ is the distance from the origin to the line connecting $(p_1, q_1)$ and $(p_2, q_2)$. To illustrate, Fig. 4 shows this analysis. With $d_{23}$ and $d_{13}$ defined similarly to $d_{12}$, (14) becomes

$$\| D \|_F^2 = 3 + \frac{1}{d_{13}^2} + \frac{1}{d_{12}^2} + \frac{1}{d_{12}^2}.$$ (15)

Therefore, to minimize $\| D \|_F^2$ in 2D, we have to minimize the sum of the reciprocals of the squared distances from the origin to the sides of the triangle with vertices $(p_k, q_k), k = 1, 2, 3$. 
and to simplify, we may further assume that the coefficients of the
chromas are real. That is, $p, q$ are real ($y = s = 0$), and the
quadruple $(p, q)$ is $(x, 0, z, 0)$, or simply $(x, z)$ in 2D space.
The feasible region resulting from the $n_x n_y$ inequality con-
straints in (10) is shown in Fig. 5(a). There are two triangles,
corresponding to vertices $(-1/2, 0), (0, 1/2)$ and $(1/3, -1/3),
(1/2, 0), (0, -1/2)$ and $(-1/3, 1/3)$, which contains the
origin as an inner point and minimizes the criterion (15).
Differing only by a sign, these two triangles lead to the same
$T$, and thus we may consider only the first one. Designating
$(-1/2, 0), (0, 1/2)$ and $(1/3, -1/3)$ to $(p, q_1), (p_2, q_2)
and (p_3, q_3)$ respectively, the multiplexing matrix can be found via
(12):
\[
T = D^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
2/7 & 2/7 & 3/7 \\
-1/7 & 0 & 1/7 \\
0 & -1/7 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Thus $F_L = (2R + 2G + 3B)/7, F_{C1} = (R - B)/7$ and $F_{C2} =
(G - B)/7$, and the corresponding frequency structure (Table
I(c)) is obtained. Applying the inverse DFT to the frequency
structure leads to an optimal CFA pattern.

V. A DESIGN EXAMPLE AND NEW CFA PATTERNS

In the following, we give a detailed example to design a
$2 \times 2$ CFA pattern by using the proposed methodology. Then
we also present several new CFA patterns designed using our
method.

A. CFA Pattern Design of Size $2 \times 2$

Our example follows the steps in Fig. 6.

**Step 1.** We choose the frequency structure of the $2 \times 2$ CFA
pattern to be (Table I(a)):
\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
F_L & F_{C1} \\
0 & F_{C2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
where we specify the chroma at $(0, 0.5)$ to be zero. Note that
$F_{C1}$ and $F_{C2}$ are both self-conjugate, so their coefficients must
all be real. As analyzed before, the multiplexing matrix $T$ can
be rewritten as Eq. (11), with all elements $p_k$ and $q_k$ being real
numbers. The colors corresponding to the columns of matrix
$T$ are ‘$C_1$’, ‘$C_2$’ and ‘$C_3$’, respectively.

**Step 2.** As there are no redundant chromas, there is no
relationship to prescribe between $F_{C1}$ and $F_{C2}$.

**Step 3.** By applying the inverse symbolic DFT to the
frequency structure $S^{(\mathcal{C}_k)}$ of primary color $\mathcal{C}_k$, the primary
CFA patterns are found to be $(k = 1, 2, 3)$:
\[
h^{(\mathcal{C}_k)}_p = w_k \begin{bmatrix}
1 + p_k + q_k & 1 - p_k - q_k \\
1 + p_k - q_k & 1 - p_k + q_k
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

**Step 4.** The equality constraints are:
\[
\begin{aligned}
w_1 + w_2 + w_3 &= 1, \\
w_1 p_1 + w_2 p_2 + w_3 p_3 &= 0, \\
w_1 q_1 + w_2 q_2 + w_3 q_3 &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]
which are for making the sum of primary CFA patterns an all-
one matrix. Note that now we only have 3 equality constraints
because the zero sum constraints on the imaginary parts of

Now let us look into the $3n_x n_y$ inequality constraints
in (10). Every group of $n_x n_y$ inequalities ensures that the
primary CFA pattern of one color should be a nonnegative
matrix, and this group of inequalities is only related with
one pair of parameters $(p_k, q_k)$ that correspond to that color.
Thus, we may choose every $(p_k, q_k)$ from the feasible region
resulting from the corresponding $n_x n_y$ inequality constraints.
However, considering the permutation symmetry among the
three colors, the feasible regions of the three colors are the
same. Therefore, we may represent this identical feasible
region with $(p, q)$, choose three points in it, and then designate
them to $(p_k, q_k), k = 1, 2, 3$. The 6 different designations
correspond to the 6 permutations of three primary colors.
The advantage of this method is to reduce 2/3 of the total
parameters from $(p_k, q_k) (k = 1, 2, 3)$ to $(p, q)$. Besides, since
the primary CFA pattern is obtained by applying the inverse
DFT to its frequency structure and both the DFT and the
inverse DFT are linear transforms, all the inequality constraints
are linear. Therefore, the feasible region has a piecewise linear
boundary and is convex.

In summary, to solve the constrained optimization problem
(10), we may obtain the feasible region first and then choose
three points from it. The chosen three points should form a
triangle that contains the origin as its inner point and further
minimizes (15). Then the multiplexing matrix $T$ and the
demosaicking matrix $D$ can be found via (12), which leads to
the frequency structure $S_{CFA}$. Finally, by applying the inverse
DFT to $S_{CFA}$, the optimized CFA pattern can be obtained.

B. An Example

To illustrate, we consider a special case of the frequency
structure as Table I(c). It has two pairs of different chromas,
the parameters in $T$ are automatically fulfilled. The inequality constraints are: ($w_k > 0$)

$$
\begin{align*}
1 + p_k + q_k & \geq 0, \\
1 - p_k - q_k & \geq 0, \\
1 + p_k - q_k & \geq 0, \\
1 - p_k + q_k & \geq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(19)

which are for making the entries of the primary CFA patterns (17) nonnegative.

**Step 5.** If we choose the Frobenius norm as the norm of $D$, then the optimization problem (10) is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{Minimize} & \quad \| D \|_F \\
\text{Subject to:} & \quad \text{Eqs. (18) and (19)}.
\end{align*}
$$

(20)

**Step 6.** According to the geometric method in Section IV, we first find the feasible region imposed by the inequality constraints (19). As the feasible regions for three primary colors are the same, we may represent the region with two parameters ($p, q$) without specifying the subscript $k$. Since $p$ and $q$ are real, the feasible region is a 2D convex polygon, as shown in Fig. 5(b). The four vertices are $(-1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)$ and $(0, -1)$.

**Step 7.** As analyzed in Section IV, to minimize the Frobenius norm of the demosaicking matrix $D$, we may find the triangle in the feasible region which contains the origin and minimizes (15). We find one with vertices at $(0, -1), (-1, 0)$ and $(1/2, 1/2)$.

**Step 8.** Without loss of generality, we may designate $(0, -1), (-1, 0)$ and $(1/2, 1/2)$ to ($p_k, q_k$), $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, as the colors $C_k$ associated with ($p_k, q_k$) are not specified yet. Substituting ($p_k, q_k$) into Eq. (12), the demosaicking $D$ can be easily calculated and then the multiplexing matrix $T = [1 1 2; 0 -1 1; -1 0 1]/4$, which leads to the frequency structure:

$$
S = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix}
C_1 + C_2 + 2C_3 & -C_2 + C_3 \\
0 & -C_1 + C_3
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(21)

By applying inverse symbolic DFT to $S$, the CFA pattern can be obtained:

$$
h_p = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C_3}{C_1 + C_3} & \frac{C_1 + C_2}{C_1 + C_3} \\
\frac{C_1 + C_2}{C_1 + C_3}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(22)

**Step 9.** Designating three primary colors to ‘$C_1$’, ‘$C_2$’ and ‘$C_3$’, we can have 6 optimal CFA patterns in total. Suppose the primary colors are R, G and B. Three designed CFA patterns are shown in Figs. 7(a)-(c), where $[C_1, C_2, C_3]$ corresponds to $[R, G, B]$, $[G, R, B]$ and $[R, B, G]$, respectively.

**B. New Patterns: CFA4a and CFA4b**

Using our design methodology, we have found two $4 \times 4$ CFA patterns, whose frequency structures are shown in Table I(b). The chromas of the two CFA patterns have real coefficients and $F_{C3} = F_{C2}$, and the CFA patterns are different only in color designation, that is, one can be obtained from the other by permuting primary colors. The multiplexing matrices, $T_{CFA4a}$ and $T_{CFA4b}$, are respectively:

$$
\frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix}
3 & 3 & 2 \\
1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -2
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 3 & 3 \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
-2 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

(23)

The new CFA patterns and the spectra of the image ‘window’ filtered with them are shown in Fig. 8. More new CFA patterns can be found in [20].

**VI. EXPERIMENTS**

Now we test our new CFA patterns with the 24 widely-used Kodak color images [7], [12] and compare them with the Bayer pattern [3] (Fig. 1(a)), the Sony CFA [27] (Fig. 1(e)), the diagonal stripe CFA [22] (Fig. 1(j)), the CFA pattern A...
proposed by Hirakawa and Wolfe [15] (Fig. 1(m)) and the pattern proposed by Condat in [5] (Fig. 1(n)). Four patterns, A, B, C and D, were proposed by Hirakawa and Wolfe in [15], and the pattern compared in this paper is pattern A, which had the best performance in their experiments. It was denoted as 'HWpA'.

The characteristics of these tested CFAs are summarized in Table II. Based on the analysis and three principles in Section III-A, we list for each CFA: “the minimum distance between luma and chroma” and “the minimum distance between chromas”, which according to principle (P1) should preferably be larger to have less crosstalk; “the number of replicated chromas”, which according to principle (P2) is preferably larger for more accurate estimates; and 2-norm and Frobenius norm of the demosaicking matrix \( D \), which according to principle (P3) is preferably smaller for less error amplification. From the Table II, we can see that CFA4a and CFA4b, as well as HWpA and Condat CFA, increase the distance between the luma and chromas, compared with Bayer, Sony and diagonal stripe CFA. This is based on the observation that luma has much higher energy than chromas and more energy distributed along the vertical/horizontal axes in the frequency domain, and thus chromas should be far from the luma and moved off the axes. For the number of replicated chromas, Bayer and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Img.</th>
<th>Bayer</th>
<th>Sony</th>
<th>Diag</th>
<th>HWpA</th>
<th>Condat</th>
<th>CFA4a/CFA4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>38.14</td>
<td>35.65</td>
<td>36.20</td>
<td>40.17</td>
<td>40.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>38.11</td>
<td>38.42</td>
<td>40.83</td>
<td>40.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>40.01</td>
<td>38.65</td>
<td>40.98</td>
<td>40.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.59</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>39.27</td>
<td>39.12</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>40.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>36.21</td>
<td>34.35</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>37.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>36.94</td>
<td>39.95</td>
<td>37.05</td>
<td>38.11</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>41.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.72</td>
<td>42.09</td>
<td>40.46</td>
<td>40.07</td>
<td>41.34</td>
<td>41.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.85</td>
<td>35.13</td>
<td>31.79</td>
<td>36.02</td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td>37.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>40.51</td>
<td>42.02</td>
<td>40.06</td>
<td>40.63</td>
<td>42.17</td>
<td>42.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>42.12</td>
<td>41.07</td>
<td>40.42</td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>42.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>38.07</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td>37.75</td>
<td>38.03</td>
<td>40.67</td>
<td>40.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>41.11</td>
<td>43.10</td>
<td>41.02</td>
<td>41.46</td>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>43.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>34.06</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>35.44</td>
<td>35.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>33.66</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>35.84</td>
<td>35.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>38.09</td>
<td>39.37</td>
<td>39.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39.74</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>39.84</td>
<td>42.07</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>45.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40.93</td>
<td>41.32</td>
<td>40.75</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>41.52</td>
<td>41.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>36.78</td>
<td>37.01</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>35.34</td>
<td>37.17</td>
<td>37.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>36.49</td>
<td>40.27</td>
<td>36.70</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>41.65</td>
<td>41.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>40.15</td>
<td>39.48</td>
<td>38.84</td>
<td>41.34</td>
<td>41.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>37.38</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>40.63</td>
<td>40.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.96</td>
<td>37.76</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>36.49</td>
<td>38.21</td>
<td>38.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>41.83</td>
<td>41.99</td>
<td>40.38</td>
<td>40.54</td>
<td>42.15</td>
<td>42.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>34.66</td>
<td>34.23</td>
<td>32.82</td>
<td>35.68</td>
<td>35.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: 37.35, 38.66, 36.99

Table III: CPSNR of demosaicking algorithms based on the Bayer CFA [3], the Sony CFA [27], the diagonal stripe CFA [22], the HWpA CFA [15], the Condat CFA [5] and two of our newly designed CFAs. The average CPSNR is calculated as \( 10 \log_{10}(\text{average MSE}) \).
Fig. 9. Blowups of the demosaicked Image 19 of the Kodak Dataset [12]. (o) original image. (a) Bayer-na"ive. (b) Bayer-Adapt. (c) Sony-Direct. (d) Diag-Direct. (e) HWpA-na"ive. (f) HWpA-Adapt. (g) Condat-Direct. (h) CFA4a-na"ive. (i) CFA4a-Adapt. (j) CFA4b-na"ive. (k) CFA4b-Adapt. The visual quality of the demosaicked images using our CFAs ((h)∼(k)) is indistinguishable from that of (d)∼(g).

HWpA have two and our new CFAs have four, aiming for more accurate estimates. The norms show the minimization objectives that have been achieved for the corresponding CFA patterns. Actually, the norms can be smaller if the replicated chromas are all considered for the formulation. To interpret the norm of the demosaicking matrix $D$, some care should be taken. The norm of $D$ cannot be directly compared since it increases with the size of pattern and the number of chroma.

As described above, we apply the associated demosaicking method (Section III) [8] to the images sampled by the CFA patterns to be tested. For Bayer, HWpA, CFA4a and CFA4b, there may be identical or dependent chromas modulated at different frequency points. There are many methods to combine these replicas for more accurate estimation of the chromas. One method is to na"ively average these replicas. The other method is the locally adaptive weighting method proposed in [7], which gives larger weights to the replicas with less aliasing. The latter method respects the fact that these replicas suffer different amount of aliasing. We shall call these two methods the na"ive and the Adaptive method, respectively. For diagonal stripe CFA and Condat CFA, there are only two chromas, which can only be estimated by direct band-pass filtering. So we denote their associated demosaicking algorithm as Diag-Direct and Condat-Direct, respectively. For the Sony CFA, we use pseudo-inverse to reconstruct three primary channels from four different multiplex components, and the algorithm is denoted as Sony-Direct.

Table III gives the CPSNRs (color peak SNR, in dB) of the 24 images and the average CPSNR (computed as $10 \log_{10}$(average MSE)). Clearly, the results with our new CFAs are much better than those with the Bayer CFA, the Sony CFA and the diagonal stripe CFA. Our new CFAs achieve the highest average CPSNR. Among the Bayer CFA based algorithms, Bayer-Adapt gives the best results. Though the adaptive technique used in Bayer-Adapt greatly improves the

1If two chromas, $S(p, q)$ and $S(r, s)$, are dependent, which means that there exists a scalar $c$ such that $S(p, q) = c \cdot S(r, s)$, then we can average $S(p, q)/c$ and $S(r, s)$ for a better estimation of $S(r, s)$.
performance with the Bayer CFA, our simple and non-adaptive CFA4a-naive and CFA4b-naive algorithms still outperform Bayer-Adapt on average and on most of the 24 images. This demonstrates that using our new patterns, CFA4a and CFA4b, the demosaicking quality can be improved a lot. The pattern HWpA also gives very good results, although it is a bit worse than the best (CFA4b) of our new CFA patterns, in terms of average CPSNR. The Condat CFA performs better than Bayer CFA, but has lower average CPSNR than HWpA and our patterns.

For the Bayer CFA, CFA4a and CFA4b, the Adaptive method outperforms the naive method greatly, especially for the Bayer CFA (1.31 dB gain on average), which is not the case for HWpA (0.03 dB gain on average). We conjecture that the reason may lie in the combination characteristics of the Adaptive method. In general, the more difference several estimates have, the more gain we can obtain by combining these estimates. For the Bayer CFA, its two chromas \((R - B)\) and \(-(R - B)\) for combination lie on the horizontal and vertical axes. So their aliasing suffered from the luma is quite different. This results in the great gain of the Adaptive method over the naive one. Therefore, the gain of the Adaptive method is closely related to the frequency structure of a CFA.

The above evaluation with CPSNR shows that our CFAs are better than state-of-the-art CFAs in terms of signal level recovery. We further compare the visual quality of CFAs by using two exemplary images. The first image (Fig. 9(o)) has much energy along the horizontal/vertical axes in the frequency domain, while the second one (Fig. 10(o)) has much energy off the horizontal/vertical axes. So these two images are typical and representative. We will show that our proposed CFAs outperform the Bayer CFA, and its visual quality is indistinguishable from that of state-of-the-art CFAs.

Fig. 9 shows the fence part of the images demosaicked from the CFA images obtained by sampling the lighthouse image (19th in Table III) with the test CFAs. Because of its structure, this fence part has much energy along the horizontal/vertical axes in the frequency domain. Thus if there are any chromas on the horizontal/vertical axes, then there is much cross-talk between luma and chromas. This is the case for Bayer and Sony CFAs and as a result, the demosaicked images from these CFAs have obvious artifacts (Figs. 9(a) and
For the Bayer CFA, by exploiting the redundant chroma information, the adaptive method (Fig. 9(b)) can reduce the aliasing, but artifacts are still visible. The other CFAs, the diagonal-stripe, HWpA, Condat, CFA4a and CFA4b, do not suffer from this problem since they do not have chromas on the horizontal/vertical axes. The demosaicked images Figs. 9(d)-(k) also show that all of them have good visual quality, and are better than the Bayer and Sony CFAs.

Fig. 10 provides the demosaicked images sampled by the test CFAs, on the window part of image 08 in Table III. As the presence of wires in the image corresponds to high energy in the area off the horizontal and vertical axes in the frequency space, severe aliasing may result from our new CFAs. However, by exploiting the correlations [7] among the nonzero chromas (e.g., 4 replicas of \((R-G)/8\) for CFA4a, 4 replicas of \((-G+B)/8\) for CFA4b, which contain different amount of aliasing), our new CFAs still perform well in terms of both CPSNR (Table III) and subjective quality. One can see that the visual quality of our proposed patterns CFA4a-Adapt and CFA4b-Adapt (Figs. 10(i) and (k)) is indistinguishable from that of HWpA-Adapt and Bayer-Adapt. Besides, the resulting images also show the great improvement with the adaptive method for redundant chromas, by comparing Figs. 10 (a) and (b) (Bayer CFA), (e) and (f) (HWpA CFA), (h) and (i) (CFA4a), and (j) and (k) (CFA4b). This shows the effectiveness of the adaptive method [7], and it also implies that we should better include some redundant or highly correlated chromas when designing a CFA. Actually this is the main reason why we propose the design principle (P2) (Section III-A).

For the Bayer, Sony, diagonal stripe, HWpA and Condat CFAs, we have also tested their alternative CFAs obtained by permuting the colors R, G and B, and compared them with our CFAs in terms of average CPSNR. For the Bayer CFA, if we exchange R and G, the average CPSNR is the highest (39.71 dB) for demosaicking with the Adaptive method. For the Sony CFA, the average CPSNR is the highest when R and G is exchanged (38.32 dB). For the diagonal stripe CFA, permutations of colors have little influence on the performance. (Note the equivalence of R, G and B.) For HWpA, its alternatives do not produce better results. For Condat CFA, permuting colors results in similar CPSNR. Thus it can be concluded that our proposed CFA4b outperforms all other tested CFAs and their alternatives by permuting colors.

VII. CONCLUSIONS, DISCUSSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Based on the frequency structure, which is a frequency-domain representation of CFAs, a CFA design methodology is proposed in this paper. It aims at minimizing the demosaicking error by better arranging multiplex components in the frequency structure and finding the optimal demosaicking matrix. After reformulation, the optimization problem is solved by a geometric method. Our experiments show that using our new CFA patterns, the simple associated demosaicking algorithm can achieve excellent demosaicking quality.

With principle (P3) (Section III-A)), our CFA design aims at CFAs whose demosaicked images have the least mean square errors (MSE) in the RGB color space. However, MSE is not really a good visual metric for images [30] and the optimal CFA should aim at images with the highest visual quality. One possible solution is to transform the image form RGB space to perceptually uniform spaces such as CIELAB and its spatial extension S-CIELAB [32] and optimize the CFA coefficients for minimum error in that space. Besides, we can also benefit from the research on image quality assessment [29], which provides quantitative measure of perceived image quality.

The demosaicking method was not investigated extensively in this paper. However, it is one of the key factors influencing image quality and should be investigated more in the future. On the one hand, a good demosaicking algorithm leads to better performance. Although the adaptive method [7] performs very well in the experiments, we still believe more gain can be obtained for our new patterns CFA4a and CFA4b. That is because we have four replicated chromas and it is highly possible that even more accurate chromas can be estimated from the four chromas. On the other hand, different demosaicking algorithms may work better for CFAs with different characteristics. Thus it would also be beneficial to design an optimal CFA for a specific demosaicking algorithm. One possible way is to analyze the features of the demosaicking method first, and incorporating the features as constraints (e.g., more or less replicated chromas) into the CFA design.

By using lattice transform and reciprocal lattices, we can apply the proposed methodology to design CFAs over other periodical lattices, such as quincunx and hexagonal lattices, but the corresponding optimization principles still need further investigation. This will be our research in the future.
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