

From Admissible Rules to (New) Unification Types

George Metcalfe

Mathematics Institute
University of Bern

Work in progress with Leonardo Cabrer

ALCOP 2014, Queen Mary College London, 15-16 May 2014

Unifiability and Admissibility

$x \vee y \approx \top$ is **unifiable** in the class of *Boolean algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma(x) = x; \quad \sigma(y) = \neg x,$$

and also in the class \mathcal{HA} of *Heyting algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma_1(x) = \top; \quad \sigma_1(y) = y \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_2(x) = x; \quad \sigma_2(y) = \top.$$

Moreover, the “disjunction property” is **admissible** in \mathcal{HA} :

$$\sigma \text{ unifies } x \vee y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA} \quad \implies \quad \sigma \text{ unifies } x \approx \top \text{ or } y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA}.$$

Unifiability and Admissibility

$x \vee y \approx \top$ is **unifiable** in the class of *Boolean algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma(x) = x; \quad \sigma(y) = \neg x,$$

and also in the class \mathcal{HA} of *Heyting algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma_1(x) = \top; \quad \sigma_1(y) = y \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_2(x) = x; \quad \sigma_2(y) = \top.$$

Moreover, the “disjunction property” is **admissible** in \mathcal{HA} :

$$\sigma \text{ unifies } x \vee y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma \text{ unifies } x \approx \top \text{ or } y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA}.$$

Unifiability and Admissibility

$x \vee y \approx \top$ is **unifiable** in the class of *Boolean algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma(x) = x; \quad \sigma(y) = \neg x,$$

and also in the class \mathcal{HA} of *Heyting algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma_1(x) = \top; \quad \sigma_1(y) = y \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_2(x) = x; \quad \sigma_2(y) = \top.$$

Moreover, the “disjunction property” is **admissible** in \mathcal{HA} :

$$\sigma \text{ unifies } x \vee y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma \text{ unifies } x \approx \top \text{ or } y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA}.$$

Unifiability and Admissibility

$x \vee y \approx \top$ is **unifiable** in the class of *Boolean algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma(x) = x; \quad \sigma(y) = \neg x,$$

and also in the class \mathcal{HA} of *Heyting algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma_1(x) = \top; \quad \sigma_1(y) = y \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_2(x) = x; \quad \sigma_2(y) = \top.$$

Moreover, the “disjunction property” is **admissible** in \mathcal{HA} :

$$\sigma \text{ unifies } x \vee y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA} \quad \implies \quad \sigma \text{ unifies } x \approx \top \text{ or } y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA}.$$

Unifiability and Admissibility

$x \vee y \approx \top$ is **unifiable** in the class of *Boolean algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma(x) = x; \quad \sigma(y) = \neg x,$$

and also in the class \mathcal{HA} of *Heyting algebras*, e.g. by

$$\sigma_1(x) = \top; \quad \sigma_1(y) = y \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_2(x) = x; \quad \sigma_2(y) = \top.$$

Moreover, the “disjunction property” is **admissible** in \mathcal{HA} :

$$\sigma \text{ unifies } x \vee y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma \text{ unifies } x \approx \top \text{ or } y \approx \top \text{ in } \mathcal{HA}.$$

Can **admissibility** be determined by comparing **unifiers**?

Equational Unification

Let us fix an equational class \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{L} -algebras for a language \mathcal{L} , and denote by $\mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ the formula algebra of \mathcal{L} over $X \subseteq \omega$.

A \mathcal{V} -**unifier** of a set of \mathcal{L} -identities Σ over $X \supseteq \text{Var}(\Sigma)$ is a substitution

$$\sigma: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$$

satisfying

$$(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Sigma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma(\varphi) \approx \sigma(\psi).$$

Equational Unification

Let us fix an equational class \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{L} -algebras for a language \mathcal{L} , and denote by $\mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ the formula algebra of \mathcal{L} over $X \subseteq \omega$.

A \mathcal{V} -**unifier** of a set of \mathcal{L} -identities Σ over $X \supseteq \text{Var}(\Sigma)$ is a substitution

$$\sigma: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$$

satisfying

$$(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Sigma \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma(\varphi) \approx \sigma(\psi).$$

A **clause** (an ordered pair of finite sets of \mathcal{L} -identities)

$$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$$

is \mathcal{V} -**admissible** if each \mathcal{V} -unifier of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma \cup \Delta)$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier of some $\varphi \approx \psi$ in Δ .

Note. For any finite set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities

$$\Sigma \text{ is } \mathcal{V}\text{-unifiable} \quad \iff \quad \Sigma \Rightarrow \emptyset \text{ is not } \mathcal{V}\text{-admissible.}$$

A **clause** (an ordered pair of finite sets of \mathcal{L} -identities)

$$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$$

is **\mathcal{V} -admissible** if each \mathcal{V} -unifier of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma \cup \Delta)$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier of some $\varphi \approx \psi$ in Δ .

Note. For any finite set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities

$$\Sigma \text{ is } \mathcal{V}\text{-unifiable} \quad \iff \quad \Sigma \Rightarrow \emptyset \text{ is not } \mathcal{V}\text{-admissible.}$$

A **clause** (an ordered pair of finite sets of \mathcal{L} -identities)

$$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$$

is **\mathcal{V} -admissible** if each \mathcal{V} -unifier of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma \cup \Delta)$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier of some $\varphi \approx \psi$ in Δ .

Note. For any finite set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities

$$\Sigma \text{ is } \mathcal{V}\text{-unifiable} \quad \iff \quad \Sigma \Rightarrow \emptyset \text{ is not } \mathcal{V}\text{-admissible.}$$

A subset M of a preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is called

- **complete** for \mathbf{P} if for all $x \in P$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $x \leq y$
- and a **μ -set** for \mathbf{P} if also $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$ for all distinct $x, y \in M$.

Note. All μ -sets for \mathbf{P} have the same cardinality.

A subset M of a preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is called

- **complete** for \mathbf{P} if for all $x \in P$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $x \leq y$
- and a μ -**set** for \mathbf{P} if also $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$ for all distinct $x, y \in M$.

Note. All μ -sets for \mathbf{P} have the same cardinality.

A subset M of a preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is called

- **complete** for \mathbf{P} if for all $x \in P$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $x \leq y$
- and a **μ -set** for \mathbf{P} if also $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$ for all distinct $x, y \in M$.

Note. All μ -sets for \mathbf{P} have the same cardinality.

A subset M of a preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is called

- **complete** for \mathbf{P} if for all $x \in P$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $x \leq y$
- and a **μ -set** for \mathbf{P} if also $x \not\leq y$ and $y \not\leq x$ for all distinct $x, y \in M$.

Note. All μ -sets for \mathbf{P} have the same cardinality.

A preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be

- **unitary** (type 1) if it has a μ -set of cardinality 1
- **finitary** (type ω) if it has a finite μ -set of cardinality greater than 1
- **infinitary** (type ∞) if it has a μ -set of infinite cardinality
- **nullary** (type 0) if it has no μ -sets.

These types are ordered by

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

A preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be

- **unitary** (type 1) if it has a μ -set of cardinality 1
- **finitary** (type ω) if it has a finite μ -set of cardinality greater than 1
- **infinitary** (type ∞) if it has a μ -set of infinite cardinality
- **nullary** (type 0) if it has no μ -sets.

These types are ordered by

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

A preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be

- **unitary** (type 1) if it has a μ -set of cardinality 1
- **finitary** (type ω) if it has a finite μ -set of cardinality greater than 1
- **infinitary** (type ∞) if it has a μ -set of infinite cardinality
- **nullary** (type 0) if it has no μ -sets.

These types are ordered by

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

A preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be

- **unitary** (type 1) if it has a μ -set of cardinality 1
- **finitary** (type ω) if it has a finite μ -set of cardinality greater than 1
- **infinitary** (type ∞) if it has a μ -set of infinite cardinality
- **nullary** (type 0) if it has no μ -sets.

These types are ordered by

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

A preordered set $\mathbf{P} = \langle P, \leq \rangle$ is said to be

- **unitary** (type 1) if it has a μ -set of cardinality 1
- **finitary** (type ω) if it has a finite μ -set of cardinality greater than 1
- **infinitary** (type ∞) if it has a μ -set of infinite cardinality
- **nullary** (type 0) if it has no μ -sets.

These types are ordered by

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Ordering Substitutions

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$\sigma_2 \preceq \sigma_1$ “ σ_1 is **more general than** σ_2 ”,

if there exists a substitution $\sigma': \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ such that

$$\sigma' \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2.$$

Ordering Substitutions

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\sigma_2 \preceq \sigma_1 \quad \text{“}\sigma_1 \text{ is more general than } \sigma_2\text{”,}$$

if there exists a substitution $\sigma': \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ such that

$$\sigma' \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2.$$

Unification Type of an Equational Class

The \mathcal{V} -**unification type** of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities is the type of the \preceq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma)$.

The **unification type** of \mathcal{V} is the maximal \mathcal{V} -unification type of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities according to the ordering

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Unification Type of an Equational Class

The \mathcal{V} -**unification type** of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities is the type of the \preceq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma)$.

The **unification type** of \mathcal{V} is the maximal \mathcal{V} -unification type of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities according to the ordering

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Examples

- The class of *Boolean algebras* is **unary** (Büttner & Simonis 1987).
If unifiable in the class, $\{\varphi \approx \top\}$ has a **most general unifier** defined by $\sigma(x) = \neg\varphi \vee x$ for each $x \in \text{Var}(\varphi)$.
- The class of *Heyting algebras* is **finitary** (Ghilardi 1999).
- The class of *semigroups* is **infinitary** (Plotkin 1972).
E.g., $\{x \cdot y \approx y \cdot x\}$ has a μ -set $\{\sigma_{m,n} \mid \text{gcd}(m, n) = 1\}$ where $\sigma_{m,n}(x) = z^m$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(y) = z^n$.
- The class of *distributive lattices* is **nullary** (Willard 1989).
E.g., $\{x \wedge y \approx z \vee w\}$ has no μ -set.

Examples

- The class of *Boolean algebras* is **unary** (Büttner & Simonis 1987).
If unifiable in the class, $\{\varphi \approx \top\}$ has a **most general unifier** defined by $\sigma(x) = \neg\varphi \vee x$ for each $x \in \text{Var}(\varphi)$.
- The class of *Heyting algebras* is **finitary** (Ghilardi 1999).
- The class of *semigroups* is **infinitary** (Plotkin 1972).
E.g., $\{x \cdot y \approx y \cdot x\}$ has a μ -set $\{\sigma_{m,n} \mid \text{gcd}(m, n) = 1\}$ where $\sigma_{m,n}(x) = z^m$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(y) = z^n$.
- The class of *distributive lattices* is **nullary** (Willard 1989).
E.g., $\{x \wedge y \approx z \vee w\}$ has no μ -set.

Examples

- The class of *Boolean algebras* is **unary** (Büttner & Simonis 1987).
If unifiable in the class, $\{\varphi \approx \top\}$ has a **most general unifier** defined by $\sigma(x) = \neg\varphi \vee x$ for each $x \in \text{Var}(\varphi)$.
- The class of *Heyting algebras* is **finitary** (Ghilardi 1999).
- The class of *semigroups* is **infinitary** (Plotkin 1972).
E.g., $\{x \cdot y \approx y \cdot x\}$ has a μ -set $\{\sigma_{m,n} \mid \text{gcd}(m, n) = 1\}$ where $\sigma_{m,n}(x) = z^m$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(y) = z^n$.
- The class of *distributive lattices* is **nullary** (Willard 1989).
E.g., $\{x \wedge y \approx z \vee w\}$ has no μ -set.

Examples

- The class of *Boolean algebras* is **unary** (Büttner & Simonis 1987).
If unifiable in the class, $\{\varphi \approx \top\}$ has a **most general unifier** defined by $\sigma(x) = \neg\varphi \vee x$ for each $x \in \text{Var}(\varphi)$.
- The class of *Heyting algebras* is **finitary** (Ghilardi 1999).
- The class of *semigroups* is **infinitary** (Plotkin 1972).
E.g., $\{x \cdot y \approx y \cdot x\}$ has a μ -set $\{\sigma_{m,n} \mid \text{gcd}(m, n) = 1\}$ where $\sigma_{m,n}(x) = z^m$ and $\sigma_{m,n}(y) = z^n$.
- The class of *distributive lattices* is **nullary** (Willard 1989).
E.g., $\{x \wedge y \approx z \vee w\}$ has no μ -set.

Admissibility Again

Given a μ -set S for the \preceq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ :

$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is \mathcal{V} -admissible \iff each $\sigma \in S$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier
of some $(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Delta$.

This relationship has been used to investigate axiomatization, decidability, and complexity results for admissible clauses.

But can we do better?

Admissibility Again

Given a μ -set S for the \preceq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ :

$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is \mathcal{V} -admissible \iff each $\sigma \in S$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier
of some $(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Delta$.

This relationship has been used to investigate axiomatization, decidability, and complexity results for admissible clauses.

But can we do better?

Admissibility Again

Given a μ -set S for the \preceq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ :

$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is \mathcal{V} -admissible \iff each $\sigma \in S$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier
of some $(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Delta$.

This relationship has been used to investigate axiomatization, decidability, and complexity results for admissible clauses.

But can we do better?

A New Ordering

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1 \quad \text{“}\sigma_1 \text{ is more exact than } \sigma_2\text{”},$$

if σ_1 \mathcal{V} -unifies *fewer identities than* σ_2 :

$$\mathcal{V} \models \sigma_1(\varphi) \approx \sigma_1(\psi) \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma_2(\varphi) \approx \sigma_2(\psi).$$

Note. It follows immediately that

$$\sigma_2 \preceq \sigma_1 \quad \implies \quad \sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1.$$

A New Ordering

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$\sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1$ “ σ_1 is **more exact** than σ_2 ”,

if σ_1 \mathcal{V} -unifies *fewer identities* than σ_2 :

$$\mathcal{V} \models \sigma_1(\varphi) \approx \sigma_1(\psi) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma_2(\varphi) \approx \sigma_2(\psi).$$

Note. It follows immediately that

$$\sigma_2 \preceq \sigma_1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1.$$

A New Ordering

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1 \quad \text{“}\sigma_1 \text{ is more exact than } \sigma_2\text{”},$$

if σ_1 \mathcal{V} -unifies *fewer identities than* σ_2 :

$$\mathcal{V} \models \sigma_1(\varphi) \approx \sigma_1(\psi) \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma_2(\varphi) \approx \sigma_2(\psi).$$

Note. It follows immediately that

$$\sigma_2 \preceq \sigma_1 \quad \implies \quad \sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1.$$

A New Ordering

Given substitutions $\sigma_i: \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1 \quad \text{“}\sigma_1 \text{ is more exact than } \sigma_2\text{”},$$

if σ_1 \mathcal{V} -unifies *fewer identities than* σ_2 :

$$\mathcal{V} \models \sigma_1(\varphi) \approx \sigma_1(\psi) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{V} \models \sigma_2(\varphi) \approx \sigma_2(\psi).$$

Note. It follows immediately that

$$\sigma_2 \preccurlyeq \sigma_1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sigma_2 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1.$$

The \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities is the type of the \sqsubseteq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma)$.

The **exact type** of \mathcal{V} is the maximal \mathcal{V} -exact type of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities according to the ordering

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Note. If the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ is at most ω , then

the \mathcal{V} -exact type of $\Sigma \leq$ the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ .

The \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities is the type of the \sqsubseteq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma)$.

The **exact type** of \mathcal{V} is the maximal \mathcal{V} -exact type of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities according to the ordering

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Note. If the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ is at most ω , then

the \mathcal{V} -exact type of $\Sigma \leq$ the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ .

The \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities is the type of the \sqsubseteq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ over $\text{Var}(\Sigma)$.

The **exact type** of \mathcal{V} is the maximal \mathcal{V} -exact type of a finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set Σ of \mathcal{L} -identities according to the ordering

$$1 < \omega < \infty < 0.$$

Note. If the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ is at most ω , then

the \mathcal{V} -exact type of $\Sigma \leq$ the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ .

Admissibility Again

Given a μ -set S for the \sqsubseteq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ :

$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is \mathcal{V} -admissible \iff each $\sigma \in S$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier
of some $(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Delta$.

But are these μ -sets ever **smaller**?

Admissibility Again

Given a μ -set S for the \sqsubseteq -preordered set of \mathcal{V} -unifiers of Σ :

$\Sigma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is \mathcal{V} -admissible \iff each $\sigma \in S$ is a \mathcal{V} -unifier
of some $(\varphi \approx \psi) \in \Delta$.

But are these μ -sets ever **smaller**?

Unification Type and Exact Type

Equational Class	Unification Type	Exact Type
Boolean Algebras	<i>Unitary</i>	<i>Unitary</i>
Heyting Algebras	<i>Finitary</i>	<i>Finitary</i>
Semigroups	<i>Infinitary</i>	<i>Infinitary or Nullary</i>
Modal algebras	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Nullary</i>
Distributive Lattices	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Unitary</i>
Stone Algebras	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Unitary</i>
Bounded Distributive Lattices	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Finitary</i>
Idempotent Semigroups	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Finitary</i>
MV-algebras	<i>Nullary</i>	<i>Finitary</i>

We identify a finite set of identities Σ with a **finitely presented algebra**

$$\mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma) = \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{Var}(\Sigma))}{\text{Cg}(\Sigma)}$$

and denote the class of finitely presented algebras in \mathcal{V} by $\text{FP}(\mathcal{V})$.

Unifiers Algebraically

Given $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$, a homomorphism

$$u: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$

is called

- a **unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} is *projective* in \mathcal{V} (i.e., a retract of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$)
- a **coexact unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} embeds into $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$.

Unifiers Algebraically

Given $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$, a homomorphism

$$u: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$

is called

- a **unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} is *projective* in \mathcal{V} (i.e., a retract of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$)
- a **coexact unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} embeds into $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$.

Unifiers Algebraically

Given $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$, a homomorphism

$$u: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$$

is called

- a **unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} is *projective* in \mathcal{V} (i.e., a retract of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$)
- a **coexact unifier** for \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{B} embeds into $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}(\omega)$.

Ordering Homomorphisms

For $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_2 \in \text{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ and homomorphisms $u_1: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_1$, $u_2: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$,

$$u_2 \leq u_1 \quad \text{“}u_1 \text{ is more general than } u_2\text{”},$$

if there exists a homomorphism $f: \mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ such that

$$f \circ u_1 = u_2.$$

Ghilardi's Theorem

Let the \mathcal{V} -**unification type** of $\mathbf{A} \in \text{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ be the type of the \leq -preordered set of unifiers of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (Ghilardi 1997)

For any finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set of identities Σ :

the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ = the \mathcal{V} -unification type of $\mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma)$.

Let the \mathcal{V} -**unification type** of $\mathbf{A} \in \text{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ be the type of the \leq -preordered set of unifiers of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (Ghilardi 1997)

For any finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set of identities Σ :

the \mathcal{V} -unification type of Σ = the \mathcal{V} -unification type of $\mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma)$.

Our Theorem

Let the \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ be the type of the \leq -preordered set of coexact unifiers of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (Cabrer and Metcalfe)

For any finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set of identities Σ :

the \mathcal{V} -exact type of Σ = the \mathcal{V} -exact type of $\mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma)$.

Corollary

If \mathcal{V} is locally finite, then it has unitary or finitary exact unification type.

Our Theorem

Let the \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ be the type of the \leq -preordered set of coexact unifiers of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (Cabrer and Metcalfe)

For any finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set of identities Σ :

$$\text{the } \mathcal{V}\text{-exact type of } \Sigma \quad = \quad \text{the } \mathcal{V}\text{-exact type of } \mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma).$$

Corollary

If \mathcal{V} is locally finite, then it has unitary or finitary exact unification type.

Our Theorem

Let the \mathcal{V} -**exact type** of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{FP}(\mathcal{V})$ be the type of the \leq -preordered set of coexact unifiers of \mathbf{A} .

Theorem (Cabrer and Metcalfe)

For any finite \mathcal{V} -unifiable set of identities Σ :

$$\text{the } \mathcal{V}\text{-exact type of } \Sigma \quad = \quad \text{the } \mathcal{V}\text{-exact type of } \mathbf{Fp}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Sigma).$$

Corollary

If \mathcal{V} is locally finite, then it has unitary or finitary exact unification type.

Further Questions

- Are there equational classes with...
 - ... unification type ω and exact type 1?
 - ... unification type ∞ and exact type 1, ω , or 0?
 - ... unification type 0 and exact type ∞ ?
- How does the new hierarchy relate to finding axiomatizations for admissible rules, determining structural completeness, etc.?
- Can the new ordering be used in resolution or term-rewriting?

Further Questions

- Are there equational classes with...
 - ... unification type ω and exact type 1?
 - ... unification type ∞ and exact type 1, ω , or 0?
 - ... unification type 0 and exact type ∞ ?
- How does the new hierarchy relate to finding axiomatizations for admissible rules, determining structural completeness, etc.?
- Can the new ordering be used in resolution or term-rewriting?

- Are there equational classes with...
 - ... unification type ω and exact type 1?
 - ... unification type ∞ and exact type 1, ω , or 0?
 - ... unification type 0 and exact type ∞ ?
- How does the new hierarchy relate to finding axiomatizations for admissible rules, determining structural completeness, etc.?
- Can the new ordering be used in resolution or term-rewriting?