



Bounded Functional Interpretation

Paulo Oliva

(joint work with F. Ferreira)

pbo@brics.dk

BRICS - University of Aarhus

Marseille-Luminy, January 12th - 16th, 2004

- Proof mining:

Logical analysis of (ineffective) mathematical proofs with the aim of extracting new information.

- Proof mining:

Logical analysis of (ineffective) mathematical proofs with the aim of extracting new information.

- New information:

(bound on) witness for existential quantifier.



Driving Idea

If one is looking for **bounds**, then **bounded quantifiers** shouldn't have computational content.



Bounded Quantifiers

- $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} \leq tA(x)$ intrinsically different from $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} A(x)$.
 - Induction on NP-predicates.
 - Bounded arithmetic.



Bounded Quantifiers

- $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} \leq t A(x)$ intrinsically different from $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} A(x)$.
 - Induction on NP-predicates.
 - Bounded arithmetic.

- $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \leq t A(x)$ intrinsically different from $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} A(x)$.
 - $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \leq t \dots \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \dots$
 - $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \dots \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \dots$



Bounded Quantifiers

- $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} \leq t A(x)$ intrinsically different from $\forall x^{\mathbb{N}} A(x)$.
 - Induction on NP-predicates.
 - Bounded arithmetic.

- $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \leq t A(x)$ intrinsically different from $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} A(x)$.
 - $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \leq t \dots \quad \forall x \in \text{compact Polish space.}$
 - $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \dots \quad \forall x \in \text{Polish space.}$



An Example

- RCA_0 : Basic theory of analysis.
- WKL : Every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.



An Example

- RCA_0 : Basic theory of analysis.
- WKL : Every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.

- **Thm**(Kohlenbach'92)

If $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{WKL} \vdash \forall x \in P; y \in K_x \exists z^\top A_\exists(x, y, z)$ then

\exists closed term s s.t. $\forall x \in P; y \in K_x \exists z \leq s(x) A_\exists(x, y, z)$.



An Example

- RCA_0 : Basic theory of analysis.
- WKL : Every infinite binary tree has an infinite path.

- **Thm**(Kohlenbach'92)

If $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{WKL} \vdash \forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}; y \leq t(x) \exists z^{\tau} A_{\exists}(x, y, z)$ then

\exists closed term s s.t. $\forall x^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}; y \leq t(x) \exists z \leq s(x) A_{\exists}(x, y, z)$.



Goal

- Interpretation distinguishing $\forall x^{\rho} \leq t A(x)$ and $\forall x^{\rho} A(x)$.



Goal

- Interpretation distinguishing $\forall x^{\rho} \leq t A(x)$ and $\forall x^{\rho} A(x)$.
- Need: bounded quantifiers for all finite types.



Goal

- Interpretation distinguishing $\forall x^{\rho} \leq t A(x)$ and $\forall x^{\rho} A(x)$.
- Need: bounded quantifiers for all finite types.
- Need: extended \leq to all finite types.



One Solution: Pointwise

- Use pointwise less-than-equal-to relation:

$$x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma} y := \forall z^{\rho} (x(z) \leq_{\sigma} y(z)).$$



One Solution: Pointwise

- Use pointwise less-than-equal-to relation:

$$x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma} y := \forall z^{\rho} (x(z) \leq_{\sigma} y(z)).$$

- **Problem:**

$x' \leq x$ and $y' \leq y$ does not imply $x'(y') \leq x(y)$.



Another Solution: Monotone

- Howard/Bezem's strong majorizability relation.
- Extension of the \leq -relation to higher types:
 - $x \leq_{\mathbb{N}}^* y := x \leq_{\mathbb{N}} y$
 - $x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}^* y := \forall v^{\rho} \forall u \leq_{\rho}^* v \left(\underbrace{xu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{above}} \wedge \underbrace{yu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{monotone}} \right)$



Another Solution: Monotone

- Howard/Bezem's strong majorizability relation.

- Extension of the \leq -relation to higher types:

- $x \leq_{\mathbb{N}}^* y := x \leq_{\mathbb{N}} y$

- $x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}^* y := \forall v^{\rho} \forall u \leq_{\rho}^* v \left(\underbrace{xu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{above}} \wedge \underbrace{yu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{monotone}} \right)$

- Example 1: for type $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$f \leq_{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}^* g := \forall m \forall n \leq m \left(\underbrace{f(n) \leq g(m)}_{\text{above}} \wedge \underbrace{g(n) \leq g(m)}_{\text{monotone}} \right).$$



Another Solution: Monotone

- Howard/Bezem's strong majorizability relation.

- Extension of the \leq -relation to higher types:

- $x \leq_{\mathbb{N}}^* y := x \leq_{\mathbb{N}} y$

- $x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}^* y := \forall v^{\rho} \forall u \leq_{\rho}^* v \left(\underbrace{xu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{above}} \wedge \underbrace{yu \leq_{\sigma}^* yv}_{\text{monotone}} \right)$

- Example 2: $x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}^* x$ means that x is monotone

$$x \leq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}^* x := \forall v^{\rho} \forall u \leq_{\rho}^* v (xu \leq_{\sigma}^* xv).$$



Majorizability: Some Properties

• $x \leq^* y \wedge y \leq^* z \rightarrow x \leq^* z$

• $x' \leq^* x \wedge y' \leq^* y \rightarrow x'(y') \leq^* x(y)$

• $x \leq^* y \rightarrow y \leq^* y$



Majorizability: Some Properties

- $x \leq^* y \wedge y \leq^* z \rightarrow x \leq^* z$
- $x' \leq^* x \wedge y' \leq^* y \rightarrow x'(y') \leq^* x(y)$
- $x \leq^* y \rightarrow y \leq^* y$

Moreover, for each closed term t of e.g. HA^ω there is another closed term t^* such that, $\text{HA}^\omega \vdash t \leq^* t^*$.



Majorizability: A New Symbol

- Idea: Add majorizability relation \trianglelefteq to the language, functional interpretation can access the relation.

- Cannot just take:

- $x \trianglelefteq_{\mathbb{N}} y \leftrightarrow x \leq_{\mathbb{N}} y$

- $x \trianglelefteq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma} y \leftrightarrow \forall v^{\rho} \forall u^{\rho} \trianglelefteq_{\rho} v (xu \trianglelefteq_{\sigma} yv \wedge yu \trianglelefteq_{\sigma} yv)$

functional interpretation would ask for a witness for

$$x \trianglelefteq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma} y \leftarrow \forall v^{\rho} \forall u^{\rho} \trianglelefteq_{\rho} v (xu \trianglelefteq_{\sigma} yv \wedge yu \trianglelefteq_{\sigma} yv)$$



Majorizability: A New Symbol

- Idea: Add majorizability relation \sqsubseteq to the language, functional interpretation can access the relation.
- One solution, use a **rule** instead of the **implication**:
 - $x \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{N}} y \leftrightarrow x \leq_{\mathbb{N}} y$
 - $x \sqsubseteq_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma} y \rightarrow \forall v^{\rho} \forall u^{\rho} \sqsubseteq_{\rho} v (xu \sqsubseteq_{\sigma} yv \wedge yu \sqsubseteq_{\sigma} yv)$

$$\frac{A_b \rightarrow \forall u \sqsubseteq v (su \sqsubseteq tv \wedge tu \sqsubseteq tv)}{A_b \rightarrow s \sqsubseteq t}$$



The Basic Setting

- With the intensional symbol \sqsubseteq we are in the position to define e.g. a “bounded quantifier” of arbitrary type:

$$B_{\forall} : \forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x (x \sqsubseteq t \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$B_{\exists} : \exists x \sqsubseteq t A(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x (x \sqsubseteq t \wedge A(x)).$$

- Let the theory $IL_{\sqsubseteq}^{\omega}$ be intuitionistic logic (in all finite types) plus the axioms/rule for \sqsubseteq , B_{\forall} and B_{\exists} .



Monotone Quantifiers

- Quantify over “monotone functionals” as

$$\forall x(x \sqsubseteq x \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\exists x(x \sqsubseteq x \wedge A(x))$$



Monotone Quantifiers

- Quantify over “monotone functionals” as

$$\forall x(x \sqsubseteq x \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\exists x(x \sqsubseteq x \wedge A(x))$$

- Use the following abbreviations:

$$\tilde{\forall}x A(x) \text{ instead of } \forall x(x \sqsubseteq x \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\tilde{\exists}x A(x) \text{ instead of } \exists x(x \sqsubseteq x \wedge A(x))$$



The Interpretation

- Main idea:

View $\forall x A(x)$ as $\tilde{\forall} b \quad \forall x \leq b A(x)$.



The Interpretation

- Main idea:

View $\forall x A(x)$ as $\underbrace{\forall b}_{\text{bounding}} \overbrace{\forall x \leq b A(x)}^{\text{bounded}}$.



The Interpretation

- Main idea:

View $\forall x A(x)$ as $\underbrace{\tilde{\forall} b}_{\text{bounding}} \overbrace{\forall x \trianglelefteq b A(x)}^{\text{bounded}}$.

- A relativization to Bezem's model \mathcal{M} :

$$\tilde{\forall} b \forall x \trianglelefteq b A(x)$$

$$\tilde{\forall} b \forall x (x \trianglelefteq b \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\forall x (\tilde{\exists} b (x \trianglelefteq b) \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\forall x (x \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A(x))$$



The Interpretation

- Associate arbitrary formulas of $\mathcal{L}_{\triangle}^{\omega}$ to formulas having the form $\tilde{\exists}b\tilde{\forall}cA_B(b, c)$.

$$A \in \mathcal{L}_{\triangle}^{\omega} \quad \mapsto \quad (A)^B \equiv \tilde{\exists}b\tilde{\forall}cA_B(b, c).$$



The Interpretation

- Associate arbitrary formulas of $\mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}^{\omega}$ to formulas having the form $\tilde{\exists}b\tilde{\forall}cA_B(b, c)$.

$$A \in \mathcal{L}_{\triangleleft}^{\omega} \quad \mapsto \quad (A)^B \equiv \tilde{\exists}b\tilde{\forall}cA_B(b, c).$$

- Compare with Gödel's functional interpretation

$$A \in \mathcal{L}^{\omega} \quad \mapsto \quad (A)^D \equiv \exists x\forall yA_{\text{qf}}(x, y).$$



The Interpretation

- Associate arbitrary formulas of $\mathcal{L}_{\sqsubseteq}^{\omega}$ to formulas having the form $\exists b \tilde{\forall} c A_B(b, c)$.

$$A \in \mathcal{L}_{\sqsubseteq}^{\omega} \quad \mapsto \quad (A)^B \equiv \exists b \tilde{\forall} c A_B(b, c).$$

- Resulting matrix monotone on the first argument, i.e.

$$b \sqsubseteq b' \wedge c \sqsubseteq c \wedge A_B(b, c, x) \rightarrow A_B(b', c, x)$$



The Interpretation: Bounded quantifiers

- Assume $(A(x))^B \equiv \exists \tilde{b} \forall \tilde{c} A_B(b, c, x)$.
- $(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \exists \tilde{b} \forall \tilde{c} \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x)$.



The Interpretation: Bounded quantifiers

- Assume $(A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c, x)$.
- $(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \forall c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x)$.

$$(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \forall x \sqsubseteq t \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c, x)$$



The Interpretation: Bounded quantifiers

- Assume $(A(x))^B \equiv \exists \tilde{b} \forall \tilde{c} A_B(b, c, x)$.
- $(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \exists \tilde{b} \forall \tilde{c} \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B &\equiv \forall x \sqsubseteq t \exists \tilde{b} \forall \tilde{c} A_B(b, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists \tilde{f} \forall \tilde{c} \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(fx, c, x) \end{aligned}$$



The Interpretation: Bounded quantifiers

- Assume $(A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \tilde{\forall} c A_B(b, c, x)$.
- $(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \tilde{\forall} c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B &\equiv \forall x \sqsubseteq t \exists b \tilde{\forall} c A_B(b, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists f \tilde{\forall} c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(fx, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists f \tilde{\forall} c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(ft, c, x)\end{aligned}$$



The Interpretation: Bounded quantifiers

- Assume $(A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c, x)$.
- $(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B \equiv \exists b \forall c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall x \sqsubseteq t A(x))^B &\equiv \forall x \sqsubseteq t \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists f \forall c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(fx, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists f \forall c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(ft, c, x) \\ &\equiv \exists b \forall c \forall x \sqsubseteq t A_B(b, c, x).\end{aligned}$$



The Interpretation: Implication

- Assume $(A)^B \equiv \tilde{\exists}b\tilde{\forall}cA_B(b, c)$ and $(B)^B \equiv \tilde{\exists}d\tilde{\forall}eB_B(d, e)$.
- $(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \tilde{\exists}f, g\tilde{\forall}b, e(\tilde{\forall}c \sqsubseteq gbeA_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(fb, e))$



The Interpretation: Implication

- Assume $(A)^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c)$ and $(B)^B \equiv \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e)$.
- $(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \exists f, g \forall b, e (\forall c \sqsubseteq g b e A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(f b, e))$

$$(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e)$$



The Interpretation: Implication

- Assume $(A)^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c)$ and $(B)^B \equiv \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e)$.
- $(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \exists f, g \forall b, e (\forall c \sqsubseteq g b e A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(f b, e))$

$$\begin{aligned}(A \rightarrow B)^B &\equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e) \\ &\equiv \forall b \exists d (\forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \forall e B_B(d, e))\end{aligned}$$



The Interpretation: Implication

- Assume $(A)^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c)$ and $(B)^B \equiv \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e)$.
- $(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \exists f, g \forall b, e (\forall c \sqsubseteq gbe A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(fb, e))$

$$\begin{aligned}(A \rightarrow B)^B &\equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e) \\ &\equiv \forall b \exists d (\forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \forall e B_B(d, e)) \\ &\equiv \forall b \exists d \forall e \exists c' (\forall c \sqsubseteq c' A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(d, e))\end{aligned}$$



The Interpretation: Implication

- Assume $(A)^B \equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c)$ and $(B)^B \equiv \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e)$.
- $(A \rightarrow B)^B \equiv \exists f, g \forall b, e (\forall c \sqsubseteq gbe A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(fb, e))$

$$\begin{aligned} (A \rightarrow B)^B &\equiv \exists b \forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \exists d \forall e B_B(d, e) \\ &\equiv \forall b \exists d (\forall c A_B(b, c) \rightarrow \forall e B_B(d, e)) \\ &\equiv \forall b \exists d \forall e \exists c' (\forall c \sqsubseteq c' A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(d, e)) \\ &\equiv \exists f, g \forall b, e (\forall c \sqsubseteq gbe A_B(b, c) \rightarrow B_B(fb, e)). \end{aligned}$$



The Soundness Theorem

- Let $A(\underline{z})$ be an arbitrary formula of $\mathcal{L}_{\sqtriangle}^{\omega}$ and assume $(A(\underline{z}))^B \equiv \exists \tilde{b} \forall c A_B(b, c, \underline{z})$.

Thm(Soundness I) If

$$\text{IL}_{\sqtriangle}^{\omega} \vdash A(\underline{z}),$$

then there are closed monotone terms \underline{t} of appropriate types such that

$$\text{IL}_{\sqtriangle}^{\omega} \vdash \forall \underline{a} \forall \underline{z} \sqtriangle \underline{a} \forall c A_B(\underline{t}\underline{a}, c, \underline{z}).$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau} [\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(x, y) \rightarrow \exists \tilde{f} \forall \tilde{b} \forall x \trianglelefteq \tilde{b} \exists y \trianglelefteq \tilde{f} a A(x, y),$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau} [\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} f \tilde{\forall} b \forall x \trianglelefteq b \exists y \trianglelefteq f a A(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{bIP}_{\forall \text{bd}}^{\rho} [\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y^{\rho} B(y)) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y \trianglelefteq b B(y)),$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} f \tilde{\forall} b \forall x \trianglelefteq b \exists y \trianglelefteq f a A(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{bIP}_{\forall \text{bd}}^{\rho}[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x A_{\text{b}}(x) \rightarrow \exists y^{\rho} B(y)) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b (\forall x A_{\text{b}}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \trianglelefteq b B(y)),$$

$$\mathbf{bMP}_{\text{bd}}^{\rho}[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x^{\rho} A_{\text{b}}(x) \rightarrow B_{\text{b}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} a (\forall x \trianglelefteq a A_{\text{b}}(x) \rightarrow B_{\text{b}}),$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^\rho \exists y^\tau A(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} f \tilde{\forall} b \forall x \trianglelefteq b \exists y \trianglelefteq f a A(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{bIP}_{\forall \text{bd}}^\rho[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y^\rho B(y)) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y \trianglelefteq b B(y)),$$

$$\mathbf{bMP}_{\text{bd}}^\rho[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x^\rho A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} a (\forall x \trianglelefteq a A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b),$$

$$\mathbf{bBC}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall z \trianglelefteq c^\rho \exists y^\tau A(y, z) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b \forall z \trianglelefteq c \exists y \trianglelefteq b A(y, z),$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau}[\sqsubseteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} f \tilde{\forall} b \forall x \sqsubseteq b \exists y \sqsubseteq f a A(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{bIP}_{\forall \text{bd}}^{\rho}[\sqsubseteq] : (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y^{\rho} B(y)) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y \sqsubseteq b B(y)),$$

$$\mathbf{bMP}_{\text{bd}}^{\rho}[\sqsubseteq] : (\forall x^{\rho} A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} a (\forall x \sqsubseteq a A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b),$$

$$\mathbf{bBC}^{\rho, \tau}[\sqsubseteq] : \forall z \sqsubseteq c^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(y, z) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b \forall z \sqsubseteq c \exists y \sqsubseteq b A(y, z),$$

$$\mathbf{bBCC}_{\text{bd}}^{\rho, \tau}[\sqsubseteq] : \tilde{\forall} b^{\tau} \exists z \sqsubseteq c^{\rho} \forall y \sqsubseteq b A_b(y, z) \rightarrow \exists z \sqsubseteq c \forall y A_b(y, z),$$



Interpretable Principles

$$\mathbf{bAC}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} f \tilde{\forall} b \forall x \trianglelefteq b \exists y \trianglelefteq f a A(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{bIP}_{\forall \text{bd}}^{\rho}[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y^{\rho} B(y)) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b (\forall x A_b(x) \rightarrow \exists y \trianglelefteq b B(y)),$$

$$\mathbf{bMP}_{\text{bd}}^{\rho}[\trianglelefteq] : (\forall x^{\rho} A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} a (\forall x \trianglelefteq a A_b(x) \rightarrow B_b),$$

$$\mathbf{bBC}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall z \trianglelefteq c^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A(y, z) \rightarrow \tilde{\exists} b \forall z \trianglelefteq c \exists y \trianglelefteq b A(y, z),$$

$$\mathbf{bBCC}_{\text{bd}}^{\rho, \tau}[\trianglelefteq] : \tilde{\forall} b^{\tau} \exists z \trianglelefteq c^{\rho} \forall y \trianglelefteq b A_b(y, z) \rightarrow \exists z \trianglelefteq c \forall y A_b(y, z),$$

$$\mathbf{MAJ}^{\rho}[\trianglelefteq] : \forall x^{\rho} \exists y (x \trianglelefteq y).$$



Soundness: First extension

- Calling all the principles above $P[\trianglelefteq]$ we have:

Thm(Soundness II) If

$$IL_{\trianglelefteq}^{\omega} + P[\trianglelefteq] \vdash A(\underline{z}),$$

then there are closed monotone terms \underline{t} of appropriate types such that

$$IL_{\trianglelefteq}^{\omega} \vdash \tilde{\forall} \underline{a} \forall \underline{z} \trianglelefteq \underline{a} \tilde{\forall} c A_B(\underline{t} \underline{a}, c, \underline{z}).$$



Soundness: Second extension

- Induction is interpreted using iteration functional.

Thm(Soundness III) If

$$HA_{\sqtriangleleft}^{\omega} + P[\sqtriangleleft] \vdash A(\underline{z}),$$

then there are closed monotone terms \underline{t} of appropriate types such that

$$HA_{\sqtriangleleft}^{\omega} \vdash \tilde{\forall} \underline{a} \forall \underline{z} \sqtriangleleft \underline{a} \tilde{\forall} c A_B(\underline{t} \underline{a}, c, \underline{z}).$$



Interpreting Classical Theories

- $P_{bd}[\trianglelefteq]$: restriction of $P[\trianglelefteq]$ to bounded formulas.

Thm(Negative translation) If

$$PA_{\trianglelefteq}^{\omega} + P_{bd}[\trianglelefteq] \vdash A(\underline{z}),$$

then

$$HA_{\trianglelefteq}^{\omega} + P_{bd}[\trianglelefteq] \vdash (A(\underline{z}))^N$$



Uniform Weak König's Lemma

- WKL: Every infinity binary tree has an infinite path, i.e.

$$\forall T(\text{Inf}(T) \wedge \text{Bin}(T) \rightarrow \exists p(\text{Inf}(p) \wedge p \in T)).$$



Uniform Weak König's Lemma

- WKL: Every infinity binary tree has an infinite path, i.e.

$$\forall T(\text{Inf}(T) \wedge \text{Bin}(T) \rightarrow \exists p(\text{Inf}(p) \wedge p \in T)).$$

- UWKL: Uniform version of weak König's lemma:

$$\exists \Phi \forall T(\text{Inf}(T) \wedge \text{Bin}(T) \rightarrow (\text{Inf}(\Phi(T)) \wedge \Phi(T) \in T)).$$



Uniform Weak König's Lemma

- WKL: Every infinity binary tree has an infinite path, i.e.

$$\forall T(\text{Inf}(T) \wedge \text{Bin}(T) \rightarrow \exists p(\text{Inf}(p) \wedge p \in T)).$$

- UWKL: Uniform version of weak König's lemma:

$$\exists \Phi \forall T(\text{Inf}(T) \wedge \text{Bin}(T) \rightarrow (\text{Inf}(\Phi(T)) \wedge \Phi(T) \in T)).$$

Thm. $\text{HA}^\omega + \text{P}[\leq] \vdash \text{UWKL}$.



Example of Meta-theorem

$$\text{bAC}_0^{1,1} : \forall x^1 \exists y^1 A_0(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f \forall x \exists y \leq_1 f(x) A_0(x, y).$$



Example of Meta-theorem

$$\text{bAC}_0^{1,1} : \forall x^1 \exists y^1 A_0(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f \forall x \exists y \leq_1 f(x) A_0(x, y).$$

Lemma. $\text{PA}_{\leq}^\omega \vdash A(z) \Rightarrow \text{PA}^\omega \vdash A(z)[\leq^* / \leq].$



Example of Meta-theorem

$$\text{bAC}_0^{1,1} : \forall x^1 \exists y^1 A_0(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f \forall x \exists y \leq_1 f(x) A_0(x, y).$$

$$\text{Lemma. } \text{PA}_{\leq}^{\omega} \vdash A(z) \Rightarrow \text{PA}^{\omega} \vdash A(z)[\leq^* / \leq].$$

Thm. If

$$\text{PA}^{\omega} + \text{bAC}_0^{1,1} + \text{UWKL} \vdash \forall x^{\rho} \exists y^{\tau} A_0(x, y),$$

where A_0 is quantifier-free, then

$$\text{PA}^{\omega} \vdash \tilde{\forall} a \forall x \leq^* a \exists y \leq^* q(a) A_0(x, y),$$

for some monotone closed term q .



Future work

- Feasible case



Future work

- Feasible case
- Bounded modified realizability



Future work

- Feasible case
- Bounded modified realizability
- Comparison with monotone functional interpretation