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Abstract—In order to meet the requirements of massively connected devices, different quality of services (QoSs), various transmit rates and ultra-reliable and low latency communications (URLLC) in vehicle to everything (V2X) communications, we introduce a full duplex non-orthogonal multiple access (FD-NOMA)-based decentralized V2X system model. We then classify the V2X communications into two scenarios and give their exact capacity expressions. To solve the computation complicated problems of the involved exponential integral functions, we give the approximate closed-form expressions with arbitrary small errors. Numerical results indicate the validity of our derivations. Our analysis has that the accuracy of our approximate expressions is controlled by the division of \( \frac{T}{T} \) in the urban and crowded scenario, and the truncation point \( T \) in the suburban and remote scenario. Numerical results manifest 1) Increasing the number of V2X device, NOMA power and Rician factor value yields better capacity performance. 2) Effect of FD-NOMA is determined by the FD self-interference and the channel noise. 3) FD-NOMA has better latency performance compared to other schemes.

Index Terms—Vehicle communications, V2X, full duplex, non-orthogonal multiple access, capacity analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

There are two distinct regimes in vehicle to everything (V2X) communications, i.e., the dedicated short-range communications (DSRC) [1], [2] and the cellular-V2X (C-V2X) [3], [4]. DSRC was popular in the past decades. Recently, C-V2X has received much attention with explosively growing devices connecting to the wireless networks. With the help of cellular network, C-V2X can connect more V2X devices [5], [6]; it can establish the link among vehicles, smart infrastructures, and pedestrians. C-V2X operates in two modes. First, in the direct communications (DC) mode, V2X devices can directly communicate with each other. Well-known examples include vehicle to vehicle (V2V), vehicle to pedestrian (V2P) communications. Second, in the network-based communications (NC) mode, cellular base station (BS) is playing the dominant role, and the V2X devices communicate with (or with the help of) the cellular, for instance, vehicle to network (V2N), vehicle to infrastructure (V2I) communications. However, the current version of C-V2X (i.e., the long term evolution V2X (LTE-V2X)) cannot fully satisfy the requirements of low latency, various quality of services (QoSs) and different transmit rates [6], [7].

In addition, the existing orthogonal frequency division multiple access (OFDMA)-based LTE-V2X systems need orthogonality. Different from the static or non-mobility wireless communications, moving vehicle caused Doppler effect is a vital problem for OFDMA-based LTE-V2X systems [8]. As is known, carrier frequency offset (CFO) caused by the Doppler effect will lead to inter-cARRIER interference (ICI) to the OFDM-based wireless communications [9]. In literature, there have been various studies to solve the CFO compensation, see, e.g., [9], [10]. However, because the oscillators can never be oscillating at the identical frequency, in OFDMA-based wireless communications, CFO side-effect always exists even for non-moving circumstance [9].

It is noticed that besides the OFDMA, some fifth generation (5G) technologies can be used to address the issues of low latency [11], various QoSs and different transmit rates in V2X communications. From the upper layer perspective, the software-defined networks (SDNs) with its centralized control plan and distributed multiple nodes are more suitable for vehicle communications. With the aid of machine learning and big data analysis, we can monitor all types of events and maintain a global network status [12]. From the physical layer perspective, under the equal frequency resources constraint, NOMA can accommodate more users comparing to the orthogonal multiple access (OMA) scheme. Besides, these users can be with different QoS requirements [13], [14]. In addition, NOMA is insensitive to CFO effect caused by moving vehicles because...
of its non-orthogonal frequency. NOMA employs the same resource block (RB) for multiple user’s transmission, which can alleviate the spectrum bottleneck of wireless communications [15]–[17]. NOMA can pair users with different transmit rates for simultaneous transmission [18]–[20]. On the other hand, while simultaneously transmitting and receiving information, full duplex (FD) can provide faster speed and better spectrum efficiency (SE) performances [13]. Moreover, FD can offer reliable communications [21], which is useful for V2X applications such as navigation and emergency message broadcasting.

B. Related Works and Motivations

Some antecedent works has been done on FD-NOMA. For instance, it was found that FD-NOMA can significantly suppress the co-channel interferences and achieve better performance gains compared to half duplex NOMA (HD-NOMA) and orthogonal multiple access (OMA) [22]. Analysis and simulation results in [23] demonstrated that rate region performance of FD-NOMA outperforms the one with NOMA. Analysis and simulation results in [24] indicates that FD-NOMA improves the 5G’s system performance compared to HD-NOMA. Based on the relaying system model, analysis and simulation results in [13] indicated that FD-NOMA outperforms HD-NOMA in terms of outage probability and ergodic sum rate in low signal to noise ratio (SNR) region, but displays an inferior performance in high SNR region.

In V2X communications, there are some existing works on NOMA-V2X and FD-V2X [25]–[27]. Based on the NOMA, the authors in [26] proposed the graph-based practical encoding and joint belief propagation (BP) decoding techniques, which can achieve any rate pair close to the capacity region. B. Di et al. in [25] employed NOMA for URLLC communications while proposing a NOMA-based mixed centralized/distributed (NOMA-MCD) scheme to reduce the resource collision. In [27], an optimal blind interference alignment scheme was proposed for the coexisting of FD and HD modes. This scheme can improve the sum rate performance in the finite SNR regime. However, most of these studies on NOMA-V2X and FD-V2X communications are based on the NC mode, which is a challenge for connecting massive V2X devices because of the cellular throughput restriction. Although the authors investigated the decentralized NOMA-V2X systems in [25], there has been no capacity analysis for such a system. To the best of our knowledge, a study investigating the impact of FD-NOMA techniques on V2X systems is rare, which motivates us to develop this treatise.

In literature, various channel models are used for the ergodic capacity analysis, for instance, the \( k - \mu \) channel model [28], [29] and the \( \eta - \mu \) channel model [28]. However, obtaining the closed-form capacity expression in these channel models is difficult because of the involved infinity series operations. Authors thus employed some special conditions and methods to give the closed-form expressions, e.g., \( \mu \) with positive integer values [29] and the approximate method [30]. On the other hand, the difficulty to obtain a closed-form expression with Rayleigh or Rician channel model lies in the involved exponential integral functions. In order to solve this problem, some approximate methods and algorithms have been proposed, for instance, the Swamee and Ohija method for exponential integral function [31] and the fast and accurate algorithm for generalized exponential integral function [32]. However, these methods are based on some special conditions (e.g., [32]), or with low accuracies (e.g., [31]). In this paper, we give the approximate closed-form capacity expressions for both Rayleigh and Rician channel models while taming the troublesome exponential integral functions.

In this work, we propose the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X system model, and also provide the capacity analysis to obtain the approximate closed-form capacity expressions with high accuracy. We try to answer the following key questions.

- **Can we use one solution to meet the requirements of V2X communications? If it is not possible, what about a combination of FD-NOMA techniques?**
- **If the combination is feasible to satisfy the requirements of V2X communications, what about the capacity and throughput performance of the V2X systems?**
- **Is there any approximate expressions for the capacity expressions with arbitrary small error and low computational complexity?**

C. Contributions

The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

- The FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems can partly offload the cellular network\(^1\). Compared to OFDMA, NOMA is insensitive to Doppler effect caused by moving vehicles. In addition, FD-NOMA can accommodate more users with different QoSs and transmit rates for simultaneous transmission and reception.
- Based on the system model, we derive the exact system ergodic capacity expressions and their approximate closed-form expressions for both scenarios. These approximate closed-form expressions are with low computational complexity and controllable arbitrary small errors compared to the existing approximate expressions. Insights from our analysis has 1) the accuracy of our simplified approximate expression in urban and crowded scenario is controlled by the associated division of \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) (with respect to the exponential integral function \( E_1(x) \)). 2) The accuracy of our simplified approximate expression in suburban and remote scenario is controlled by the truncation point \( T \) (with respect to the exponential integral function \( E_{1,0}(x) \)).
- It is observed from our numerical results that: 1) the analytical results coincide with the Monte-Carlo based simulation results perfectly, which demonstrates the validity of our derivations. 2) The system capacity increases with the increasing allocated power value, SNR and Rician factor values. 3) The FD self-interference and the channel

\(^1\) Besides C-V2X communications, there are other types of cellular communications, our work can not offload all the cellular network load.
noise determine the effect of FD-NOMA. 4) FD-NOMA has better latency performance compared to HD-NOMA and HD-orthogonal multiple access (HD-OMA) schemes.

D. Notations and Organization

Notations: In this article, we use upper case boldface letters to denote matrices (e.g., $\mathbf{A}$), and we use lower case boldface letters to denote vectors (e.g., $\mathbf{a}$). In addition, we use $\mathbf{A}^T$ as the transpose of $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ to denote the multiply by position operation for two vector $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. On the other hand, $A \leftrightarrow B$ means a transmit-receive pair with $A$ and $B$ transceivers on each side working on FD mode, $A \rightarrow B$ the transmission procedure from $A$ to $B$, vice versa.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In section II, the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X system model is proposed. We divide the V2X communications into different scenarios in this section. We analysis the system capacity of different scenarios in section III. The numerical simulations are given by section IV, and conclusion is given in section V.

II. THE FD-NOMA-BASED DECENTRALIZED V2X SYSTEMS

A. System Model

The FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X system model is given in Fig. 1. This system is slightly different from the existing ones in the following respects. A) Different from the existing studies on FD-NOMA, no relaying systems are used because of the vehicle’s limited energy. B) V2X devices can directly communicate with each other through DC mode without the cellular’s help, and the required contents are obtained from neighboring V2X caches [33]. This system model thus has shorter transmission distance and better latency performance [33]. The cellular network load can be reduced too.

Once can notice that to simplify the analysis, only V2V and V2I communications are considered in the existing V2X studies, see, e.g., [25]–[27], [34]–[36]. As discussed, not only the vehicles, V2X aims to connect everything on the road. In order to cope with this trend, in our FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems, all V2X devices (vehicle, pedestrian, traffic lights, etc.) are comprehensively included. The massive connected devices and their various applications are making the V2X communications more complicated. To deal with this intractable problem, in this work, we classify the V2X communications into two scenarios: 1) the urban and crowded scenario and 2) the suburban and remote scenario.

In urban and crowded scenario, Rayleigh fading can be used as the channel model. This is due to the abundant reflection and refraction links between source and destination [37]. In contrast, Rician channel model is suitable for the suburban and remote scenario because of the less obstacles, where we can always establish a dominant light of sight (LoS) path from source to destination [38].

![Fig. 1: The $M \leftrightarrow N$ FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X system model. The communications among V2X devices can be accomplished by FD-NOMA working on the DC mode.](image-url)
are different from the centralized cellular-based communications, i.e., each V2X destination can receive information with different NOMA power vectors from multiple distributed sources. By invoking the FD-NOMA techniques for simultaneous transmission and reception, the power received and transmitted by each V2X device are $p_n, \hat{p}_n$.

III. ERGODIC CAPACITY ANALYSIS IN DIFFERENT SCENARIOS

In literature, capacity analysis is to reveal the intuitive and simple-to-compute capacity expressions for the wireless systems [39], [40]. In this regard, closed-form capacity expression is of great importance. Generally, capacity can be classified into two different types, i.e., the ergodic (Shannon) capacity and the outage capacity [41]. In time varying channels, on condition that the channel state information (CSI) is known at the receiver but not the transmitter, i.e., $\gamma$ (signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR)) is known for every time slot. On condition that the CSI cannot be perfectly obtained because of the feedback delay or channel estimation error [42]–[44], the system performance is reduced. The hardware impairment (HI) is another issue that reduce the system performance. The impacts of imperfect CSI and HI to the NOMA-based systems have been investigated in prior works, see, e.g., [45], [46]. In literature, there are some methods to alleviate the side-effects of imperfect CSI and HI, for instance, the deep learning-based CSI prediction [47]. In addition, some transmission methods without CSI have been investigated, see, e.g., [48].

On condition that the distribution of $\gamma$ is known at both the transmitter and receiver. Ergodic capacity is defined by data transmission going through all fading states, which is also called the Shannon capacity since it is the average of instantaneous capacity over all states. In contrast, outage capacity is used to describe the system performance under slowly varying channels with a constant instantaneous $\gamma$ [41], [49]. Here in this study, we adopt the ergodic capacity since V2X channels are generally the time varying channels.

In the decentralized FD-NOMA V2X systems, transmission channels are uncorrelated. In this case, the considered multiple input multiple output NOMA (MIMO-NOMA) can be treated as a sum of additive single input single output NOMA (SISO-NOMA) links. Moreover, similar to prior works [13], [50], we adopt an increasing order of the channel response, which means $|h_{1,1}|^2 \leq ..., |h_{i,j}|^2 \leq ..., |h_{i,N}|^2, \forall i \in [1,M], j \in [1,N]$, vice versa. In this case, after successive interference cancellation (SIC), NOMA co-channel interference of the $i$-th user are from the $(i + 1)$-th user to the $N$-th user [50].

According to Shannon theory [19], achievable capacity of each destination can be given by (6), see the equation in the top of next page. Here $\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i,l}$ yields the co-channel interference from neighboring users after SIC, $\eta \tilde{p}_{i,k}$ is the self-interference by FD uplink, $\sigma^2$ is the channel noise power, respectively. Additionally, $\eta$ is the coefficient of self-interference with $\eta \in [0,1]$, which makes our expressions versatile to describe different schemes. For instance, in FD-NOMA scheme, large value of $\eta$ denotes the strong FD self-interference, and small value denotes the weak FD self-interference. On condition that $\eta = 0$, the expression reduces to the pure NOMA expression. On the basis of (6), normalizing the channel noise power value will give (7). Here $\rho$ is the SNR, and we use $\alpha_{i,j}, \alpha_{i,l}, \alpha_{i,k}$ to denote the allocated NOMA power coefficient with FD transmission in line with a normalized channel noise power value. In the sequel, we adopt the normalized noise power.

A. Ergodic Capacity Analysis in Urban and Crowded Scenario

We first analyze the achievable sum capacity in urban and crowded scenario. Note that we use the superscript $a$ and $c$ to distinguish different scenarios. In urban and crowded scenario, PDF of instantaneous signal to interference plus noise ratio (SINR) in each time slot, say, $\gamma_{i,j}$, is given by

$$f^a(\gamma_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{i,j}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\alpha_{i,j}}},$$

where

$$\gamma_{i,j} = \frac{\rho \alpha_{i,j}}{\rho (\sum_{l=1}^{N} \alpha_{i,l} + \eta \alpha_{i,k}) + 1},$$

is the averaged channel power gain of each destination. As is well known, ergodic capacity is achieved by experiencing all the channel fading states, which means

$$C_{i,j}^a = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{i,j}) \right]$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{i,j}) f^a(\gamma_{i,j}) d\gamma_{i,j},$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{i,j}) \frac{1}{\gamma_{i,j}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\alpha_{i,j}}} d\gamma_{i,j}.$$  

In the following theorem, we provide the exact ergodic capacity expression of the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems.

Theorem 1: In urban and crowded scenario, the exact achievable sum ergodic capacity of the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems is

$$C_{\text{sum}}^a = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_1 \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right] \log_2 e,$$

where $E_1(x)$ is the exponential integral function that defined as

$$E_1(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt.$$  

Additionally, we have $\gamma_{i,j}$ given as (9).

Proof: See Appendix A.

Exact ergodic capacity expression in urban and crowded scenario is provided in Theorem 1. Since the exponential integral function is involved, this expression thus is not given in closed-form. We thereby further pursue an approximate closed-form expression of the achievable capacity. As noticed, in (11), the only expression not given by closed-form is the generalized exponential integral functions. In this case, our main focus is to find out a closed-form expression of $E_1(x)$. 
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\[
C_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{p_{ij} |h_{ij}|^2}{\sum_{l=j+1}^{N} p_{i,l} + \eta p_{i,k} + \sigma^2} \right),
\]

\[
C_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log_2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho \alpha_{i,j} |h_{ij}|^2}{\rho (\sum_{l=j+1}^{N} \alpha_{i,l} + \eta \alpha_{i,k}) + 1} \right],
\]

**Lemma 1:** Closed-form expression (lower bound) of the generalized exponential integral function is given by

\[
E_1(x) \leq 4\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{s=1}^{t+1} a_k b_k \sqrt{2} e^{-b_k b_s x},
\]

where \(a_k, b_k\) are defined as

\[
a_k = \frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}{\pi},
\]

\[
b_k = \frac{\cot \theta_{k-1} - \cot \theta_k}{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}.
\]

In addition, \(\theta_k, k \in [0, n + 1]\) is given by \(0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \ldots \theta_k \ldots < \theta_{n+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}\). Besides, \(a_s, b_s, \theta_s\) are defined with the same method, i.e.,

\[
a_s = \frac{\theta_s - \theta_{s-1}}{\pi},
\]

\[
b_s = \frac{\cot \theta_{s-1} - \cot \theta_s}{\theta_s - \theta_{s-1}},
\]

and \(0 \leq \theta_0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \ldots \theta_s \leq \ldots < \theta_{k+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}\). It is also worth noting that the approximation accuracy is controlled by the division of \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) with \(\theta_k\) and \(\theta_s\) (associate with \(a_s, b_s\)).

**Proof:** See Appendix B.

In order to verify the tightness of this expression, we compare the performances of the exact expression, the approximate expression and the well-known Swamee and Ohija approximation. Note that the Swamee and Ohija approximation expression is given by [31]

\[
E_1(x) = (A^{-7.7} + B)^{-0.13},
\]

where

\[
A = \ln \left( \frac{0.56146}{x} + 0.65 \right),
\]

\[
B = x^{4}e^{7.7x} (2 + x)^3.7.
\]

Here while using the approximate expression in Lemma 1, we divide the \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) with 1000 segments, which means, \(\theta_k - \theta_{k-1} = \frac{\pi}{2000}\). The simulation results are given by Fig. 2. As noticed, the gap between the approximation and the exact form curves is large. Although this approximation method is better than the Swamee and Ohija approximation method, it is still unsuitable to be adopted directly.

We notice from Appendix B that in our derivations, the only issue that might bring in difference is the Jensen’s inequality;

\[\text{i.e., in the derivations of } Q(x)\text{-function’s closed-form expression, we use}
\]

\[
\int_{0}^{\theta_{k-1}} e^{-\frac{\theta_k}{2 n+1} x} d\theta \geq e^{\left( \frac{\theta_k^{n+1} - x^2}{2 n+1} \right)}.
\]

Additionally, one can see from Fig. 2 that the approximation curve displays a similar curvature to the exact curve. We can expect that a coefficient factor to the closed-form expression might improve the accuracy, i.e.,

\[
E'_1(x) = q 4\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{s=1}^{t+1} a_k b_k \sqrt{2} e^{-b_k b_s x}.
\]

Consequently, our task is to find out a \(q\) satisfying

\[
|E'_1(x) - E_1(x)| \leq \epsilon.
\]

Here we use \(\epsilon = 0.00001\). After some manipulations, we notice that when \(q = \frac{1}{2}\), the above condition is met (e.g., \(|E'_1(1) - E_1(1)| = |0.2193827 - 0.2193839| = 1.2187 \times 10^{-6}\)). We thus have an approximate closed-form expression of \(E_1(x)\) as

\[
E_1(x) \approx \pi \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{s=1}^{t+1} a_k b_k \sqrt{2} e^{-b_k b_s x}.
\]

We further give the comparison results of the exact, improved and approximate expressions, which is shown in Fig. 3. Compared to the approximate results, the improved approximate results coincide with the exact results perfectly, which indicates the validity of our hypothesis. Closed-form expression of \(C_{\text{sum}}^{\text{Ray}}\) is given by the following corollary.

**Corollary 1:** By substituting (24) into (11), we obtain the approximate closed-form expression of the achievable capacity in urban and crowded scenario

\[
C_{\text{sum}}^{\text{Ray}} \approx \pi \log_2 e \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{t+1} e^{\left( \frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{2} \right) a_k b_k} \sqrt{2} e^{-b_k b_s x}.
\]

**Remark 2:** Insights from Corollary 1 is that the system ergodic capacity in urban and crowded scenario is determined by \(M, N, \gamma_{i,j}\). The system capacity increases with \(M, N\). The accuracy of this approximate closed-form expression is determined by \(n, t\). That is, the divisions of \(\frac{\pi}{2}\). The validity of this approximate expression will be verified by the following numerical results.
where $E_1(x)$ is the first kind modified Bessel function with zeroth order. By following a similar procedure of the previous analysis, we can obtain Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2:** Exact ergodic capacity expression of the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems in suburban and remote scenario is given by

$$
C_{\text{sum}}^c = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{K}{\ln 2}} \sum_{m=0}^{K} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{l=1}^{m+2} E_{m-l+2} \left( \frac{K+1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i,j}} \right).
$$

(28)

Here $E_n(x)$ is the generalized exponential integral function defined as [52]

$$
E_n(x) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-xt}}{t^n} dt \ (\text{Re}(x) > 0),
$$

(29)

where $\text{Re}(x)$ yields the real part of $x$.

*Proof:* See Appendix C.

This expression is still intractable to use directly because of the involved infinite factorial and generalized exponential integral expressions. In order to tame this troublesome problem, we give one approximate expression with arbitrary small error by invoking the truncation method in the sequel.

We find that the following expression

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} E_{m-q+2} \left( \frac{K+1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i,j}} \right)
$$

(30)

has an upper ceiling approximation, as shown by **Corollary 2**. In this case, the system capacity can be given by an approximate expression with much lower computation complexity and arbitrary small error, $\epsilon$.

**Corollary 2:** By truncating the infinite series with regard to $T$, the capacity expression is approximately given as

$$
C_{\text{sum}}^c \approx \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{K}{\ln 2}} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{l=1}^{m+2} E_{m-l+2} \left( \frac{K+1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i,j}} \right),
$$

(31)

The truncation error is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{K}{\ln 2}} \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{l=1}^{m+2} E_{m-l+2} \left( \frac{K+1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i,j}} \right).
$$

(32)

*Proof:* See Appendix D.

**Remark 3:** One can notice that the accuracy of the approximate expression in (31) is controlled by $T$. In other words, we may obtain an approximate expression with an arbitrary small error when

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{-\frac{K}{\ln 2}} \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{l=1}^{m+2} E_{m-l+2} \left( \frac{K+1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i,j}} \right) < \epsilon.
$$

(33)
Insight from Corollary 2 has that the system capacity expression is determined by $M, N, \gamma_{i,j}$ and $K$. With $M, N$ increasing, the system capacity always increases. The precise effects of $\gamma_{i,j}, K$ to the capacity are still nonintuitive, which will be discussed in the following section.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we perform the Monte Carlo simulations to verify the validity of our analysis. We also perform simulations to exposist the effects of different parameters to the system capacity, and compare the performance between FD-NOMA and NOMA schemes based on the decentralized FD-NOMA-enabled V2X systems. Due to variable parameters, we separately explain them and their values in the following simulations.

We first check the validity of the derived capacity expressions in (25) and (31). In these simulations, for the sake of compactness, one source with multiple destinations are used, where the source employs the FD-NOMA scheme to serve these destinations. We also assume that the allocated NOMA power variance is growing linearly with a normalized noise variance value (e.g., with 4 users, the NOMA power vector is $\mathbf{a}_i = [4, 3, 2, 1]$), where $\mathbf{a}_i = [\alpha_{i,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i,N}]$. Additionally, $\eta = 0.1$, $\alpha_{i,k} = 5$ are used. As clearly shown by Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, our analytical results and the MC results almost exactly coincide, which demonstrates the validity of our analysis. For instance, in Fig. 4, with $\rho = 15$ dB, $1 \leftrightarrow 4$, the MC and App results are respectively 3.6865, 3.6866 Bit/S/Hz. Under the same condition, as shown in Fig. 5, MC result and App result are 3.8458, 3.8455 Bit/S/Hz, respectively. The differences are less than 0.001 Bit/S/Hz in both scenarios. We also observe that as the values of $N, \rho$ increases, the system capacity always increases. By comparing Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, we notice that under the same condition, capacities in suburban and remote scenario always outperform the ones in urban and crowded scenario (for instance, in $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ case, $\text{SNR} = 0$ dB, $C_{\text{sum}}^c = 125\%C_{\text{sum}}^a$; $\text{SNR} = 30$ dB, $C_{\text{sum}}^c = 103\%C_{\text{sum}}^a$). This is because of the less propagation loss with a dominant LoS path between source and destination in the suburban and remote scenario.

In order to verify the benefits of our analytical expressions, we compare the consumed time of App and MC simulations in Table I with $\rho = 15$ dB as an example. In these simulations, eight-core 3.4 GHz processors, 16 GB memory and windows 10 64-bit operating system are used. The results are rounded off to four decimal places. As shown in Table I, the consumed time of our analytical expressions are about 10$^6$ times shorter than the MC simulations.

In the next step, we check the effect of Rician factor $K$ to the system capacity in suburban and remote scenario. In order to keep $K$ as the only variable, we do some manipulations as follows: 1) we keep all variables consistent except $K$; 2) with normalized noise power value and 3 destinations, we set $\mathbf{a}_i = [1, 2, 3]$. The simulation results of the system capacity vs the destination number in suburban and remote scenario is given in Fig. 6. We notice that as the $K$ increases, system capacity also increases. This is because the higher $K$ brings in a stronger LoS component and a weaker multi-path propagation loss.

Besides the effects of $N, \rho, K$, the effects of $M$ and $\mathbf{a}_i$ to the system capacity are also checked with: 1) a linearly growing power value with $M = 1$ (i.e., $\mathbf{a}_1 = [0.5, 1, 1.5], \mathbf{a}_2 = [1, 2, 3], \mathbf{a}_3 = [2, 4, 6]$); 2) different NOMA power vectors with $M = 2$ (i.e., $2 \leftrightarrow 3$, $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ denote that two sources are transmitting information to 3 destinations with FD-NOMA, where the NOMA power vector are $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$, respectively). The simulation results are given by Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. As shown by the solid lines in both figures, increasing the power values leads...
TABLE I: Consumed time (second) of App and MC simulations with $\rho = 15$ dB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>App</th>
<th>0.0002</th>
<th>0.0001</th>
<th>0.0001</th>
<th>0.0004</th>
<th>0.0005</th>
<th>0.0006</th>
<th>0.0007</th>
<th>0.0008</th>
<th>0.0009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>83.0272</td>
<td>89.2913</td>
<td>83.0986</td>
<td>80.8462</td>
<td>81.7240</td>
<td>87.6330</td>
<td>91.5975</td>
<td>87.3378</td>
<td>94.8763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban and crowded scenario</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>82.9720</td>
<td>89.8830</td>
<td>89.6005</td>
<td>92.4459</td>
<td>97.2475</td>
<td>95.9309</td>
<td>94.8763</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban and remote scenario</td>
<td>App</td>
<td>0.0781</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6: Comparison of the capacities with different power values and source numbers.

Fig. 7: Comparison of the capacities with different power values and source numbers.

Fig. 8: Comparison of the capacities with different power values and source numbers.

to better capacity performance, which is due to the increased SNR value. For example, in $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ case and SNR = 20 dB, we have $C_{\text{sum}}(\alpha_2) = 131\%C_{\text{sum}}(\alpha_1)$. We can also confirm from both figures that as $M$ increases, the system capacities also increase.

Finally, we compare the achievable throughputs with FD-NOMA, NOMA, FD-OMA and HD-OMA schemes in different scenarios. The results are given in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. In these simulations, carrier bandwidth $B = 100$ MHz, $\alpha_i = [3, 2, 1]$, $\eta = 0.1$ and $\alpha_{i,k} = 0.1, 1, 10$ are used. In order to be fair, we average the allocated power in FD-OMA and HD-OMA schemes. As shown in both figures, NOMA scheme has a better throughput performance compared to OMA scheme. Moreover, with a smaller value of $\alpha_{i,k}$, FD-NOMA always outperforms the other schemes (HD-NOMA, FD-OMA, HD-OMA). However, the benefit of FD-NOMA decreases while $\alpha_{i,k}$ increasing. This is mainly due to the increased FD self-interferences. We also notice that even with a higher FD self-interference value, FD-NOMA outperforms NOMA in low SNR scenario (i.e., $\rho \in [0, 5]$ dB). This is because in low SNR scenario, channel noise is the dominant factor compared to FD self-interference.

In contrast, FD-NOMA self-interference becomes the dominant factor in high SNR scenario, NOMA scheme without FD self-interference thus has a better throughput performance. It is also worth noting that the effective transmission time is limited because of the fast moving V2X devices. FD-NOMA enabled bidirectional transmission can greatly reduce the transmission latency compared to other schemes. For example, compared to HD-NOMA and HD-OMA, FD-NOMA only needs a half latency time to transmit the same amount of data by its simultaneous transmission and reception scheme.

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we proposed the FD-NOMA-based decentralized V2X systems. We classified the V2X communications.
Our analytical results. Compared to MC method, the consumed
time is greatly reduced by our Approximation expressions.
Simulation results also demonstrated that the system capacity
performance can be enhanced by increasing the number of V2X
devices, NOMA power and Rician factor (suburban and remote
scenario), and the effectiveness of FD-NOMA is determined
by the FD self-interference and the channel noise. In addition,
FD-NOMA can greatly reduce the system latency compared to
other schemes.

**APPENDIX A: PROOF OF THEOREM 1**

Firstly, according to the integration by parts method, we have
\[ \int_0^{+\infty} \log_2(1 + \gamma_{i,j}) \frac{1}{\gamma_{i,j}} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r} d\gamma_{i,j} \]
\[ = - \int_0^{+\infty} \log_2(1 + \gamma_{i,j})(e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r}) d\gamma_{i,j} \]
\[ = \log_2(1 + \gamma_{i,j})e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r} + \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\ln 2(1 + \gamma_{i,j})} \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r}}{\gamma_{i,j}} d\gamma_{i,j} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma_{i,j})} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r} d\gamma_{i,j} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma_{i,j})} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r} \frac{d\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}} \]
\[ = \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r}}{\ln 2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}^r} \frac{d\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}} \]

So far the expression is still intractable. In the next step, we
recall the alternative generalized exponential integral expression
\[ E_n(x) \triangleq \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-xt}}{t^n} dt \]
\[ = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t}{t^{n-2}}} dt \]
\[ = x^{n-1} \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{t}}}{t} dt, x > 0, \]

By substituting (A.2) into (A.1), and further summarizing the
result with M sources and N destinations, we can safely arrive
the final expression.

This completes the proof.

**APPENDIX B: PROOF OF LEMMA 1**

As noticed, \( E_1(x) \) can be rewritten as
\[ E_1(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{d}{\sqrt{t}} dt. \] (B.1)

It is also noticed that the following equality holds \[ e^{-t} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{\sqrt{2t}} dt \]
\[ = -2\sqrt{2e^{-t}} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} \int_{\sqrt{2t}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t}{t}} dt \]
\[ = -2\sqrt{2e^{-t}} \frac{d}{dt} \{Q(\sqrt{2t})\}. \] (B.2)
Thus in the next step, our work is to seek a closed-form expression for the $Q$-function. Actually, there are various closed-form expressions to capture the lower or upper bounds of the $Q$-function, for instance, the Chernoff bound

$$Q(x) \leq e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, x > 0,$$

the improved exponential bound

$$Q(x) \leq \frac{1}{4}e^{-x^2} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, x > 0.$$ (B.4)

However, the integral is still intractable while substituting those expressions into (B.1), an alternative method is needed. According to prior work, by adopting the Craig’s form, we have [53]

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

Craig’s form

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2}} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \theta_k e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2}} 1d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \theta_k e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2}} 1d\theta$$

(B.5)

with $\theta_k, b_k$ are defined as $\theta_k = \frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}{\pi}, b_k = \cot \theta_k - \cot \theta_{k-1}$. Then by substituting the Jensen’s inequality [54] to (B.5), we have the lower bound expression of $Q$-function as

$$Q(x) \geq \frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \theta_k e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2}} 1d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\theta_k - \theta_{k-1}}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2}} 1d\theta$$

(B.6)

Additionally, it is worth noting that $\theta_k, k \in [0, n+1]$ is given by $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < ... \theta_k < ... < \theta_{n+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ [53], [54]. The approximate accuracy of this lower bound expression is controlled by the interval gap between each pair of $[\theta_{k-1}, \theta_k]$. Moreover, it is known that the following equality holds

$$\int \sin^{-2} x dx = -\cot x + C.$$ (B.7)

Substituting it into (B.6), we thus have

$$Q(x) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k e^{-\frac{\sin^2 \theta_k}{2}}.$$ (B.8)

Finally, by substituting (B.2) into (B.1), $E_1(x)$ can be given as

$$E_1(x) = -2\sqrt{\pi} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt}(Q(\sqrt{2t})) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt$$

$$\approx 2\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k b_k \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-b_k t} dt$$

$$= 2\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k b_k \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{v_k e^{-b_k t}}{\sqrt{b_k t}} dt$$

(B.9)

$$= 4\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k \sqrt{b_k} Q(\sqrt{2b_k x})$$

$$\approx 4\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k \sqrt{b_k} a_s e^{-b_k b_s x}.$$ (B.10)

As the final inequality is obtained while substituting the approximate expression of $Q(\sqrt{2b_k x})$, definitions of $a_s, b_s, \theta_s$ thus are similar as prior definitions of $a_k, b_k, \theta_k$, i.e., $a_s = \frac{\theta_s - \theta_{s-1}}{\pi}, b_s = \frac{\cot \theta_s - \cot \theta_{s-1}}{\theta_s - \theta_{s-1}}$ and $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < ... \theta_s < ... < \theta_{t+1} = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

This completes the proof.

APPENDIX C: PROOF OF THEOREM 2

It is noticed that the PDF of $\gamma_{i,j}$ in Rician channel condition can be given by [51]

$$f_r(\gamma_{i,j}) = \frac{K + 1}{\gamma_{i,j}} e^{-\frac{K + 1}{\gamma_{i,j}}} \cdot I_0 \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{K(K + 1)\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}} \right),$$ (C.1)

By following a similar derivation procedure as in Theorem 1, we have the following equation

$$C_{i,j}^C = \mathbb{E} \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{i,j})$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{i,j}) f_r(\gamma_{i,j}) d\gamma_{i,j}.$$ (C.2)

and substituting equations (C.4) and (C.5) into this expression, the derivations of capacity expression of the FD-NOMA-based
decentralized V2X systems can be given as:
\[
C^c_{i,j} = \int_0^{+\infty} \log_2(1 + \gamma_{i,j}) f^c(\gamma_{i,j}) d\gamma_{i,j} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(1 + \gamma_{i,j})}{\gamma_{i,j}} K + 1 \left[ -K \left( \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right) \right] d\gamma_{i,j}
\]
\[
I_0 \left( \sqrt{\int \frac{K(K+1)\gamma_{i,j}}{\gamma_{i,j}}} \right) d\gamma_{i,j} = \left( K + 1 \right) e^{-K} \gamma_{i,j} \ln 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[ \frac{K(K+1)}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right]^m}{(m!)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \ln(1 + \gamma_{i,j}) d\gamma_{i,j}
\]
\[
= \frac{(K+1)e^{-K}}{\gamma_{i,j} \ln 2} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{\left[ \frac{K(K+1)}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right]^m}{(m!)^2} (m+1) \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \left( \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} E_{m-q+2} \left( K + 1 \right) \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right).
\]

Here the second equality is due to the modified Bessel function of the zeroth order expression [55]
\[
I_0(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x/2)^{2m}}{m! \Gamma(m+1)}.
\]

Additionally, the third equality is because [55]
\[
\int_0^{+\infty} \ln(1 + \kappa x) e^{\beta x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\kappa) e^{\beta x}}{\beta x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{\kappa-i+1}(\beta x).
\]

By further summarizing this expression with M sources and N destinations, we can finally arrive at (31).

This completes the proof.

**APPENDIX D: PROOF OF COROLLARY 2**

The remaining section after a truncation with regard to T is
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-K} \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \sum_{m=T+1}^{\infty} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} E_{m-q+2} \left( K + 1 \right) \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}}.
\]

As shown here, approximate error mainly comes from the infinite expression series with regard to m. According to prior work in [56], [57], \( E_n(x) \) monotonically decreasing in n giving equal \( x \). In this case, by putting \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{-K} \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \) part aside, we have the following expression
\[
\sum_{m=T+1}^{\infty} \frac{K^m}{m!} \sum_{q=1}^{m+1} E_{m-q+2} \left( K + 1 \right) \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} < \sum_{m=T+1}^{\infty} \frac{K^m}{m!} \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} E_1 \left( \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right).
\]

It is noticed that giving constant values of \( \gamma_{i,j} \) and \( K \), \( E_1 \left( \frac{K+1}{\gamma_{i,j}} \right) \) then becomes a constant coefficient. Consequently, we focus on the function
\[
f(x) = \frac{Kx^2}{x!} (x+1)(x+2).
\]

By some mathematical manipulations, it is found that there exists \( x' \), so that \( f'(x') = 0 \) with \( f''(x'-) > 0 \), \( f''(x'+) < 0 \). Additionally, observation has that \( f(x) \) rapidly converges to 0 after \( x' \) (e.g., \( f(100) = 6.9966 \times 10^{-125} \)). This gives approximate capacity expression of (31) with an arbitrary small error \( \epsilon \).

This completes the proof.
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