

Symmetric Bar Recursion

Munich

Wednesday, 16 August 2017

Paulo Oliva

Queen Mary University of London
(joint work with Thomas Powell)

Spector's Bar Recursion

Example of BR use:

Demo!

$$\forall H^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \exists \alpha, \beta^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \exists i^{\mathbb{N}} (\alpha i \neq \beta i \wedge H \alpha = H \beta)$$

Provable in classical analysis:

Classical Proof. As a simple case of the law of excluded middle (also known as the drinker's paradox) we have

$$\forall n^{\mathbb{N}} \exists \alpha^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} (\exists \beta (H \beta = n) \rightarrow H \alpha = n). \quad (5)$$

Applying $AC_{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}}$ to (5) yields a functional $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\forall n (\exists \beta (H \beta = n) \rightarrow H(f(n)) = n). \quad (6)$$

The map f produces for each n a function $f(n): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever n is in the range of H , $f(n)$ maps to n . Now, define $\alpha_H := \lambda n. f(n)(n) + 1$ and let $i_H := H(\alpha_H)$ and $\beta_H := f(i_H)$. Then since i_H is in the range of H , by (6) we must have $H(\beta_H) = H(f(i_H)) = i_H = H(\alpha_H)$. But $\alpha_H \neq f(i_H) = \beta_H$. \square

Can compute witness using BR! [0'06]

Symmetric Bar Recursion

Definition: Given $u: X^\dagger$
 $\omega: X^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

define $\{u\}_\omega: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^\dagger$ as

$$\{u\}_\omega(0) \triangleq \emptyset$$

$$\{u\}_\omega(i+1) \triangleq \begin{cases} \{u\}_\omega(i) \oplus (n, u(n)) & \text{if } n \in \text{dom}(u) \\ \{u\}_\omega(i) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

we call this the ω -thread of u

where $n = \hat{\omega}(\{u\}_\omega(i))$

Definition: We say that u is an ω -thread if $u = \{u\}_\omega(\text{dom}(u))$ (we write $S_\omega(u)$)

Symmetric Bar Induction

For all $\omega: X^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$(I) \quad \forall \alpha^{X^{\mathbb{N}}} \exists n^{\mathbb{N}} P(\{\alpha\}_{\omega}(n))$$

$$(II) \quad \forall u \in S_{\omega} (\forall x^X P(u \oplus (n, x)) \rightarrow P(u))$$

where $n = \omega(\hat{u})$

implies $P(\emptyset)$

Solving Spectator's Equations

A symmetric solution to Spector's equations

Given ω, q and $\varepsilon_{(\cdot)}$ produce α, p and n such that

$$\omega(\alpha) = n$$

$$\alpha(n) = \varepsilon_n(p)$$

$$q(\alpha) = p(\varepsilon_n(p))$$

Given ω, q and $\varepsilon_{(\cdot)}$ produce α, p and n such that

$$\omega(\alpha) = n$$

$$\alpha(n) = \varepsilon_n(p)$$

$$q(\alpha) = p(\varepsilon_n(p))$$

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } n_u \in \text{dom}(u) \\ \Phi(u \oplus (n_u, a_u)) & \text{if } n_u \notin \text{dom}(u) \end{cases}$$

where $n_u = \omega(\hat{u})$ and $p_u(x) = \hat{q}(\Phi(u \oplus (n_u, x)))$ and $a_u = \varepsilon_n(p_u)$

$$u = \Phi(\emptyset) \quad \alpha = \hat{u} \quad n = \omega(\alpha) \quad p = p_u$$

Inter-definability

- BR is T-definable from sBR
(same type level)
- Change control function ω so that it always updates on the least undefined point
- This forces sBR to behave as BR

- SBR is T-definable from BR
- Quite a complicated construction
- Type level goes up by 2
- Main idea: Use values of function type to store state of computation

Conclusions

- A more symmetric variant of Spector's bar recursion
- Much more efficient in some cases
- T-equivalent to BR
- SBR more powerful than BR
 - SBR \rightarrow BR easy
 - BR \rightarrow SBR not so easy
- However, arguments by BI don't work, need version of BI based on finite partial functions