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1959 Kreisel (mod) realizability interpretation of arithmetic
1962 Spector extends dialectica interpretation to analysis Analysis $\mapsto$ System T + bar recursion

1998 Berardi et al. extend Kreisel interpretation to analysis A new (modifed) form of bar recursion is used
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Primitive recursion
Define $f(n)$ based on $f(i)$, for $i<n$
Good definition since natural numbers are well-founded

## Bar recursion

Define $f(s)$ based on $f(s * x)$, for all extensions $s * x$
Good definition if tree is well-founded (no infinite branches)

$$
f(s)= \begin{cases}g(s) & \text { if } s \text { is a leaf } \\ h(s, \lambda x \cdot f(s * x)) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$
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For instance

| Operation | $\phi:$ | $(X \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quantifiers | $\forall_{X}, \exists_{X}:$ | $(X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ |
| Integration | $\int_{0}^{1}:$ | $([0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ |
| Supremum | $\sup _{[0,1]}:$ | $([0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ |
| Limit | $\lim _{2}:$ | $(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R$ |
| Fixed point operator | $\operatorname{fix}_{X}:$ | $(X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X$ |
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| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
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## Definition (Product of Generalised Quantifiers)
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\phi(p)=p(\varepsilon p)
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holds for all $p: X \rightarrow R$.

## Definition (Attainable Quantifiers)

A generalised quantifier $\phi: K X$ is called attainable if it has a selection function $\varepsilon: J X$.
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## For Instance

- sup : $K_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$ is an attainable quantifier since

$$
\sup (p)=p(\operatorname{argsup}(p))
$$

- fix: $K_{X} X$ is an attainable quantifier since

$$
\mathrm{fix}(p)=p(\mathrm{fix}(p))
$$

## Selection Functions and Generalised Quantifiers



Every selection function $\varepsilon: J X$ defines a quantifier $\bar{\varepsilon}$ : $K X$

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}(p)=p(\varepsilon(p))
$$
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Not all quantifiers are attainable, e.g. $R=\{0,1\}$
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\phi(p)=0
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Different $\varepsilon$ might define same $\phi$, e.g. $X=[0,1]$ and $R=\mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}(p)=\mu x \cdot \sup p=p(x) \\
& \varepsilon_{1}(p)=\nu x \cdot \sup p=p(x)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Quantifier Elimination

Suppose $\exists x p(x)=p(\varepsilon p)$ and $\forall y p(y)=p(\delta p)$. Then
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\begin{aligned}
\exists x \forall y p(x, y) & =\exists x p(x, b(x)) \\
& =p(a, b(a))
\end{aligned}
$$

where
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\begin{aligned}
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## Definition (Product of Selection Functions)

Given $\varepsilon: J X$ and $\delta: J Y$ define $\varepsilon \otimes \delta: J(X \times Y)$ as

$$
(\varepsilon \otimes \delta)\left(p^{X \times Y \rightarrow R}\right) \stackrel{X \times Y}{=}(a, b(a))
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a:=\varepsilon(\lambda x \cdot p(x, b(x))) \\
& b(x):=\delta(\lambda y \cdot p(x, y)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

$\overline{\varepsilon \otimes \delta}=\bar{\varepsilon} \otimes \bar{\delta}$

## Why Should We Care?

## The product of selection functions...

- computes optimal plays in sequential games
- can be used for backtracking with pruning
- finds strategies in Nash equilibria (backward induction)
- computational content of Tychonoff's theorem
- construction that prod of searchable sets is searchable
- is behind construction in proof of Bekič's lemma
- solves Spector's equations
- realizes classical axiom of choice
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1. Assume $R$ is discrete (and $\Pi_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i} \rightarrow R$ continuous)
$\operatorname{IPS}_{n}(\varepsilon){ }^{J \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty}}{ }_{\underline{\underline{n}}} X_{i} \varepsilon_{n} \otimes \operatorname{IPS}_{n+1}(\varepsilon)$
2. Assume $l(\cdot): R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (and $l \circ q$ continuous/majorizable)
$\operatorname{EPS}_{n}^{l}(\varepsilon) \stackrel{J \Pi_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{i}}{\underline{\infty}} \lambda q \cdot \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } l(q(\mathbf{0}))<n \\ \left(\varepsilon_{n} \otimes \operatorname{EPS}_{n+1}(\varepsilon)\right)(q) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

## What about Quantifiers?

1. Schema
$\mathrm{IPQ}_{n}(\phi) \stackrel{K \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} X_{i}}{=} \phi_{n} \otimes \mathrm{IPQ}_{n+1}(\phi)$
not well-defined even when $R$ discrete and $q$ continuous.

## What about Quantifiers?

1. Schema
$\mathrm{IPQ}_{n}(\phi){ }^{K \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}}{ }^{2} \phi_{n} \otimes \mathrm{IPQ}_{n+1}(\phi)$
not well-defined even when $R$ discrete and $q$ continuous.
2. On the other hand (under assumptions above)
$\mathrm{EPQ}_{n}^{l}(\phi) \stackrel{K \Pi_{i=n}^{\infty} X_{i}}{=} \lambda q \cdot \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } l(q(\mathbf{0}))<n \\ \left(\phi_{n} \otimes \mathrm{EPQ}_{n+1}(\phi)\right)(q) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
uniquely defines a functional.
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## Definition

We denote by $\otimes_{d}$ a dependent version of $\otimes$ having type

$$
J X \times(X \rightarrow J Y) \rightarrow J(X \times Y)
$$

## Theorem

Iteration of simple product is (prim. rec.) equivalent to iteration of dependent product (same for EPS)

$$
\operatorname{IPS}_{s}(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon_{s} \otimes_{d} \lambda x^{X_{|s|} .} . \mathrm{IPS}_{s * x}(\varepsilon) .
$$

## Proof idea.

Use mapping $(X \rightarrow J Y) \rightarrow J(X \rightarrow Y)$.
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Theorem

$$
\operatorname{EPS}_{n}^{l}(\varepsilon)(q)= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0} & \text { if } l(q(\mathbf{0}))<n \\ \left(\varepsilon_{n} \otimes \operatorname{EPS}_{n+1}^{l}(\varepsilon)\right)(q) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is primitive recursively equivalent to Spector's bar rec., i.e.

$$
\operatorname{SBR}_{s}^{\omega}(\varepsilon)(q)= \begin{cases}\hat{s} & \text { if } \omega(\hat{s})<|s| \\ \operatorname{SBR}_{s * c}^{\omega}(\varepsilon)(q) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $c=\varepsilon_{s}\left(\lambda x^{X_{|s|}} . \operatorname{SBR}_{s * x}^{\omega}(\varepsilon)(q)\right)$.

## Results 3/4

## Theorem

IPS is primitive recursively equivalent to

$$
\operatorname{MBR}_{s}(\varepsilon)(q)=\varepsilon_{s}\left(\lambda x^{X_{|s|}} \cdot q_{x}\left(\operatorname{MBR}_{s * x}(\varepsilon)\left(q_{x}\right)\right)\right),
$$

where $\varepsilon_{s}:\left(X_{n} \rightarrow R\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{i \geq n} X_{i}$.

## Proof idea.

(1) Think of

$$
\left(X_{n} \rightarrow R\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{i \geq n} X_{i}
$$

as skewed selection functions.
(2) Define product of such selection functions.
(3) Show binary products are uniformly inter-definable.

Results 4/4

## Theorem

$$
\mathrm{EPQ}_{s}^{l}(\phi)(q)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } l(q(\mathbf{0}))<n \\ \left(\phi_{s} \otimes_{d} \lambda x \cdot \mathrm{EPQ}_{s * x}^{l}(\phi)\right)(q) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

is primitive recursively equivalent to bar recursion, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{BR}_{s}^{\omega}(\phi)(q)= \begin{cases}\hat{s} & \text { if } \omega(\hat{s})<|s| \\ \phi_{s}\left(\lambda x \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{s * x}^{\omega}(\phi)(q)\right) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Question. Is simple (non-dependent) EPQ sufficient?

## Summary
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## Remark 1: On Strong Monads

$K$ and $J$ are strong monads, i.e. for $T \in\{J, K\}$

- $A \rightarrow T A$
- $T^{2} A \rightarrow T A$
- $(A \wedge T B) \rightarrow T(A \wedge B)$
$\overline{(\cdot)}: J \rightarrow K$ is a monad morphism
$J$ (but not $K$ ) also satisfies (used for Main Result 1)

$$
(A \rightarrow J B) \rightarrow J(A \rightarrow B) .
$$

## Remark 2: On Negative Translations

$J$ gives rise to a new form of "negative" translation (presented by Martín Escardó on Tuesday)

$$
\begin{aligned}
K A & \equiv \neg \neg A \\
J A & \equiv(\neg A \rightarrow A)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\perp \rightarrow A$ they are the same, but in ML $J$ is stronger
Modified bar recursion witnesses $J$-shift

$$
\forall n J A(n) \rightarrow J \forall n A(n)
$$

and hence double negation ( $K$ ) shift when $\perp \rightarrow A(n)$

## Remark 3: On Games and Optimal Plays

General notion of game based on generalised quantifiers
If quantifiers attainable, product s.f. computes optimal play
Arithmetic $\mapsto$ Finite games of fixed length
Analysis $\quad \mapsto$ Finite games of unbounded length

## References

圊
M. Escardó and P. Oliva

Selection functions, bar recursion and backward induction MSCS, 20(2):127-168, 2010M. Escardó and P. Oliva

The Peirce translation and the double negation shift LNCS, CiE'2010

圊 M. Escardó and P. Oliva
Computational interpretations of analysis via products of selection functions
LNCS, CiE'2010

